

MUHANDISLIK

& IQTISODIYOT

№4 (2)

ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal

2026
APREL



Milliy nashrlar

OAK: <https://oak.uz/pages/4802>

05.00.00 - Texnika fanlari

08.00.00 - Iqtisodiyot fanlar



Google Scholar

OPEN ACCESS

ULRICHSWEB[™]
GLOBAL SERIALS DIRECTORY

Academic
Resource
Index
ResearchBib

ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE

CYBERLENINKA

OpenAIRE

ROAD

INDEX COPERNICUS
INTERNATIONAL

BASE

Crossref

НАУЧНАЯ ЭЛЕКТРОННАЯ
БИБЛИОТЕКА
LIBRARY.RU



ISSN: 3060-463X

РЭУ.РФ
РОССИЙСКИЙ ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИЙ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ
ИМЕНИ Г.В. ПЛЕХАНОВА
ТАШКЕНТСКИЙ ФИЛИАЛ



muhandislik **& iqtisodiyot**

ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal

Elektron nashr, 2026-yil, aprel.

Bosh muharrir:

Zokirova Nodira Kalandarovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, DSc, professor

Bosh muharrir o'rinbosari:

Shakarov Zafar G'afforovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori, PhD, dotsent

Tahrir hay'ati:

Abduraxmanov Kalendar Xodjayevich, O'z FA akademigi, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Sharipov Kongratbay Avezimbetovich, texnika fanlari doktori, professor

Maxkamov Baxtiyor Shuxratovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Abduraxmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Shaumarov Said Sanatovich, texnika fanlari doktori, professor

Turayev Bahodir Xatamovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Nasimov Dilmurod Abdulloyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Allayeva Gulchexra Jalgasovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Arabov Nurali Uralovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Maxmudov Odiljon Xolmirzayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Xamrayeva Sayyora Nasimovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Bobonazarova Jamila Xolmurodovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Irmatova Aziza Baxromovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Bo'taboyev Mahammadjon To'ychiyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Shamshiyeva Nargizaxon Nosirxuja kizi, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor,

Xolmuxamedov Muhsinjon Murodullayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Xodjayeva Nodiraxon Abdurashidovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Amanov Otabek Amankulovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent

Toxirov Jaloliddin Ochil o'g'li, texnika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Qurbonov Samandar Pulatovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Zikriyoyev Aziz Sadulloyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Tabayev Azamat Zaripbayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Sxay Lana Aleksandrovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent

Ismoilova Gulnora Fayzullayevna, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Djumaniyazov Umrbek Ilxamovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Kasimova Nargiza Sabitdjanovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Kalanova Moxigul Baxritdinovna, dotsent

Ashurzoda Luiza Muxtarovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Sharipov Sardor Begmaxmat o'g'li, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Tursunov Ulug'bek Sativoldiyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), dotsent

Bauyetdinov Majit Janizaqovich, Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot universiteti dotsenti, PhD

Botirov Bozorbek Musurmon o'g'li, Texnika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Sultonov Shavkatjon Abdullayevich, Kimyo fanlari doktori, (DSc)

Jo'raeva Malohat Muhammadovna, filologiya fanlari doktori (DSc), professor.

Yusupov Maxamadamin Abduxamidovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi (DSc), professor

Kalonova Moxigul Baxritdinovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi (PhD), dotsent

Mirzayev Kulmamat Djanzakovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi (DSc), professor.

Karimova Nilufar Sadirdin qizi, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Norboyev Odil Abrayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent

Nasimov Dilmurod Abdulloyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor

Mirzayev Kulmamat Djanzakovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor

Karimova Nilufar Sadirdin qizi, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Pardaev Umidjon Uralovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor

Xolmirzayev Ulug'bek Abdulazizovich, Iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc)

muhandislik & iqtisodiyot

ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal

- 05.01.00 – Axborot texnologiyalari, boshqaruv va kompyuter grafikasi
- 05.01.01 – Muhandislik geometriyasi va kompyuter grafikasi. Audio va video texnologiyalari
- 05.01.02 – Tizimli tahlil, boshqaruv va axborotni qayta ishlash
- 05.01.03 – Informatikaning nazariy asoslari
- 05.01.04 – Hisoblash mashinalari, majmualari va kompyuter tarmoqlarining matematik va dasturiy ta'minoti
- 05.01.05 – Axborotlarni himoyalash usullari va tizimlari. Axborot xavfsizligi
- 05.01.06 – Hisoblash texnikasi va boshqaruv tizimlarining elementlari va qurilmalari
- 05.01.07 – Matematik modellashtirish
- 05.01.11 – Raqamli texnologiyalar va sun'iy intellekt
- 05.02.00 – Mashinasozlik va mashinashunoslik
- 05.02.08 – Yer usti majmualari va uchish apparatlari
- 05.03.02 – Metrologiya va metrologiya ta'minoti
- 05.04.01 – Telekommunikatsiya va kompyuter tizimlari, telekommunikatsiya tarmoqlari va qurilmalari. Axborotlarni taqsimlash
- 05.05.03 – Yorug'lik texnikasi. Maxsus yoritish texnologiyasi
- 05.05.05 – Issiqlik texnikasining nazariy asoslari
- 05.05.06 – Qayta tiklanadigan energiya turlari asosidagi energiya qurilmalari
- 05.06.01 – To'qimachilik va yengil sanoat ishlab chiqarishlari materialshunosligi
- 05.08.03 – Temir yo'l transportini ishlatish
- 05.08.06 – "G'ildirakli va gusenisali mashinalar va ularni ishlatish" (texnika fanlari)
- 05.09.01 – Qurilish konstruksiyalari, bino va inshootlar
- 05.09.04 – Suv ta'minoti. Kanalizatsiya. Suv havzalarini muhofazalovchi qurilish tizimlari
- 10.00.06 – Qiyosiy adabiyotshunoslik, chog'ishtirma tilshunoslik va tarjimashunoslik
- 10.00.04 – Yevropa, Amerika va Avstraliya xalqlari tili va adabiyoti
- 08.00.01 – Iqtisodiyot nazariyasi
- 08.00.02 – Makroiqtisodiyot
- 08.00.03 – Sanoat iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.04 – Qishloq xo'jaligi iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.05 – Xizmat ko'rsatish tarmoqlari iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.06 – Ekonometrika va statistika
- 08.00.07 – Moliya, pul muomalasi va kredit
- 08.00.08 – Buxgalteriya hisobi, iqtisodiy tahlil va audit
- 08.00.09 – Jahon iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.10 – Demografiya. Mehnat iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.11 – Marketing
- 08.00.12 – Mintaqaviy iqtisodiyot
- 08.00.13 – Menejment
- 08.00.14 – Iqtisodiyotda axborot tizimlari va texnologiyalari
- 08.00.15 – Tadbirkorlik va kichik biznes iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.16 – Raqamli iqtisodiyot va xalqaro raqamli integratsiya
- 08.00.17 – Turizm va mehmonxona faoliyati

Ma'lumot uchun, OAK
Rayosatining 2024-yil 28-avgustdagi 360/5-son qarori bilan "Dissertatsiyalar asosiy ilmiy natijalarini chop etishga tavsiya etilgan milliy ilmiy nashrlar ro'yxati"ga texnika va iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha "Muhandislik va iqtisodiyot" jurnali ro'yxatga kiritilgan.

Muassis: "Tadbirkor va ishbilarmon" MChJ

Hamkorlarimiz:

1. Toshkent shahridagi G.V.Plexanov nomidagi Rossiya iqtisodiyot universiteti
2. Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot universiteti
3. Toshkent irrigatsiya va qishloq xo'jaligini mexanizatsiyalash muhandislari instituti" milliy tadqiqot universiteti
4. Islom Karimov nomidagi Toshkent davlat texnika universiteti
5. Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi Toshkent axborot texnologiyalari universiteti
6. Toshkent davlat transport universiteti
7. Toshkent arxitektura-qurilish universiteti
8. Toshkent kimyo-texnologiya universiteti
9. Jizzax politexnika instituti



MUNDARIJA

BYUDJET SUBYEKTLARI ISHTIROKINI QISQARTIRISH ASOSIDA KREDIT RISKINI BOSHQARISH SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISH.....	16
PhD. Mahmudov Rahimjon Hamid o'g'li	
MINTAQA IQTISODIYOTI TARMOQLARINI KLASTERLASHTIRISH SALOHIYATINI RIVOJLANTIRISHNI TAKOMILLASHTIRISHNING EMPIRIK MODEL: STATISTIK VA EKONOMETRIK TAHLIL.....	25
Ollokulova Feruza Mansurovna, Abdurahmonov Abdulaziz Maxmudovich	
XO'JALIK YURITUVCHI SUBYEKTLARDA PUL OQIMLARI AUDITINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISHNING ZAMONAVIY MEXANIZMLARI.....	30
Atamurodov Saidmurad Yaxyoyevich, Sindarova Aziza Musurmon qizi	
TIJORAT BANKLARIDA KREDIT RISKLARINI BOSHQARISHNI RAQAMLI TEXNOLOGIYALAR VA SUN'IY INTELLEKT ASOSIDA TAKOMILLASHTIRISH.....	42
Xasanov Sardor Xazratkulovich	
IQTISODIY O'SISH SIFATI VA UNI KO'RSATKICHLARINING KONSEPTUAL ASOSLARI.....	50
Axmedov Xasanjon Muxamadovich	
IQTISODIY O'SISH SIFATI VA UNI KO'RSATKICHLARINING KONSEPTUAL ASOSLARI.....	55
Axmedov Xasanjon Muxamadovich	
ENERGIYA SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISHNING KORXONALAR RENTABELLIGIGA TA'SIRI.....	60
Hayitov Jamshid Xolboyevich	
KREDITLASH MEXANIZMINING ILMIY-NAZARIY ASOSLARI VA UNING TARIXIY RIVOJLANISH BOSQICHLARI.....	65
Ortiqov Husan Usmonaliyevich	
DAVLAT SEKTORIDA ICHKI AUDIT FAOLIYATINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH.....	70
Xamidova Zarifa Urol qizi	
ISTE'MOL NARXLARI INDEKSINI MODELLASHTIRISH VA PROGNOZLASHNI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH YO'NALISHLARI.....	74
Ismailova Shaxnoza Uktamovna	
XIZMATLAR SEKTORI RIVOJLANISHINING KAMBAG'ALLIKKA TA'SIRINI BAHOLASH METODOLOGIYASI VA KO'RSATKICHLAR TIZIMI.....	77
Dawletmuratov Adilbay Mirzaboyevich	
BIZNES JARAYONLARINI MONITORING QILISH TIZIMINING HOZIRGI HOLATI TAHLILI.....	84
Dadajonova Madina Ravshan qizi	
ISTE'MOL NARXLARI INDEKSINI MODELLASHTIRISH VA PROGNOZLASHNI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH YO'NALISHLARI.....	89
Ismailova Shaxnoza Uktamovna	
MINTAQA IQTISODIYOTI TARMOQLARINI KLASTERLASHTIRISH SALOHIYATINI RIVOJLANTIRISHNI TAKOMILLASHTIRISHNING EMPIRIK MODEL: STATISTIK VA EKONOMETRIK TAHLIL.....	94
Ollokulova Feruza Mansurovna, Abdurahmonov Abdulaziz	
ENERGIYA SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISHNING KORXONALAR RENTABELLIGIGA TA'SIRI.....	100
Hayitov Jamshid Xolboyevich	
IMPROVING THE EFFICIENCY OF BANKS' GREEN FINANCING IN UZBEKISTAN AND KAZAKHSTAN.....	105
Maxmudov Rahimjon	
MAHALLIY BUDJETLAR MUSTAQILLIGINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH VA YANADA OSHIRISH.....	109
Abduraxmonova Gulmira	
RAQAMLI IQTISODIYOT SHAROITIDA MOLIVAVIY HISOBOTLARNI SHAKLLANTIRISH: MUAMMOLAR VA YECHIMLAR.....	114
Teshabayev Dilmurod Boxodir o'g'li	



FARG 'ONA VILOYATINING INNOVATSION RIVOJLANISHI.....	120
Tuychieva Odina Nabiyevena	
INDICATORS OF INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENT OF THE "GREEN" ECONOMY.....	131
Mirzaev Kulmamat Djanzakovich	
KREDITLASH MEXANIZMINING ILMIY-NAZARIY ASOSLARI VA UNING TARIXIY RIVOJLANISH BOSQICH LARI.....	140
Ortiqov Husan Usmonaliyevich	
KORPORATIV BOSHQARUVNING XALQARO TAJRIBASI VA UNING QIYOSIY TAHLILI.....	144
Shakirova Gulbaxor Sharipdjanovna	
TIJORAT BANKLARIDA KREDIT RISKLARINI BOSHQARISHNI RAQAMLI TEXNOLOGIYALAR VA SUN'IY INTELLEKT ASOSIDA TAKOMILLASHTIRISH.....	149
Xasanov Sardor Xazratkulovich	
IQTISODIY XAVFSIZLIKNING INSTITUSIONAL ASOSLARINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISHNING XORIJ DAVLATLAR TAJRIBASI.....	156
Odinayev Ravzatullo Asatulloevich	
KICHIK BIZNES SUBYEKTLARINING MOLIVAVIY XAVFSIZLIGINI TA'MINLASH MEXANIZMLARINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH.....	161
Karimov Alibek Valievich	
RAQAMLI IQTISODIYOT SHAROITIDA FRANCHAYZING TIZIMINI RIVOJLANTIRISHDA PLATFORMA MODELLARI VA ULARNING SAMARADORLIGINI BAHOLASH.....	167
Xodjaye Anvar Rasulovich, Nasimov Dilshodbek Hotam o'g'li	
"O'ZBEKISTON GTL" MAHSULOTLARINING FIZIK-KIMYOVIY XOSSALARI VA ULARNI KOMPOUDIRLASH ASOSIDA EKOLOGIK TOZA YOQILG'ILAR OLIISH ISTIQBOLLARI.....	173
Ro'ziyev Aliakbar, Hayitov Ruslan, Mavlonov Shohrux	
HUDUDIY MEHNAT BANDLIGINI TA'MINLASHDA AVTOSERVIS KORXONALARINING ROLI.....	179
Marqayev Xurshid Aliqulovich	
ASOSIY VOSITALAR AUDITINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH.....	183
Zaripova Sayohat Zafarovna	
XIZMATLAR SOHASINI BOSHQARISHDAGI MUAMMOLAR VA YECHIMLAR: AGROTURIZM VA RAQAMLI XIZMATLAR ASOSIDA TAHLIL (ANDIJON VILOYATI MISOLIDA).....	188
Oktamjonova Gulira'no Ikromjon qizi	
BUXORO VILOYATI UY XO'JALIKLARI HAYOT SIFATI VA IJTIMOIIY-IQTISODIY AHVOLI: SO'ROVNOMA NATIJALARI TAHLILI.....	192
Nizomov Asliddin, Musulmonova Shahlo, Izzatullayeva Ma'mura	
DIRECTIONS FOR TOURISM DEVELOPMENT IN UZBEKISTAN BASED ON DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES..	199
Mirzaev Kulmamat Djanzakovich	
QORA METALLURGIYA SANOATI VA ULARNING ISHLATILISHI.....	203
Sarimsakov Alisher Ubaydullaevich	
O'ZBEKISTON RESPUBLIKASIDA AHOLI BANDLIGINING IQTISODIY-STATISTIK TAHLILI.....	209
Yusupov Farhod Adamboyevich	
TASVIRLARDAN YO'L BELGILARINI TANIB OLIISH ALGORITMLARI VA DASTURIY VOSITASINI ISHLAB CHIQISH.....	214
Toyirov Akbar Xasanovich, Yuldoshov Abdurahmon Baxtiyorovich	
OLIY TA'LIMNI MOLIVALASHTIRISHNING ILG'OR XORIJIY TAJRIBASI: SINGAPUR MISOLIDA.....	218
Kurbanov Baxodir Negmatullayevich	



MA'LUMOTLARGA ASOSLANGAN TURIZM BOSHQARUVI: O'ZBEKISTONDA RAQAMLI TRANSFORMATSIYA JARAYONLARI.....	222
Ashurova Shaxnoza Almasovna	
DAVLAT XARIDLARI BO'YICHA BYUDJET MABLAG'LARIDAN FOYDALANISH SAMARADORLIGINI ICHKI AUDITNING ANALITIK KO'RSATKICHLARI ASOSIDA BAHOLASH.....	226
Meliboyev Askar Eshmuratovich	
ГЛИНИСТЫЕ СЛАНЦЫ ЦЕНТРАЛЬНОГО И ЮЖНОГО УЗБЕКИСТАНА КАК СЫРЬЕВАЯ СМЕСЬ ДЛЯ ПРОИЗВОДСТВА ПОРТЛАНДЦЕМЕНТА.....	231
Карабаев А.М., Абдуллаева Д.Ф., Абдуллаев У.Х. Андакулова Н.Н.	
ЦИФРОВАЯ ТРАНСФОРМАЦИЯ СИСТЕМЫ МЕНЕДЖМЕНТА КАЧЕСТВА НА ПРОМЫШЛЕННОМ ПРЕДПРИЯТИИ.....	237
Садиков Жaxonгир Носирджанович, Даулетмуратова Дилбар Калмуқанмед кизи	
РАЗРАБОТКА МЕХАТРОННОГО МОДУЛЯ ДЛЯ ВЫРАВНИВАНИЯ ПОВЕРХНОСТИ МЕТАЛЛА ПОСЛЕ ЗАЛИВКИ.....	243
Мирджуроев Сарвар Алишер угли	
MAHALLIY BUDJET DAROMADLARINI SHAKLLANTIRISHDA YASHIRIN IQTISODIYOTNING TA'SIRI ..	246
Isoqov Zafarjon Zokirjonovich	
AGROKLASTERLAR SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISHNING EKONOMETRIK MODELLARI	250
O'rinboev Ulug'bek Otabekovich	
ИССЛЕДОВАНИЕ ПОРИСТОЙ СТРУКТУРЫ И ВЛАГОПОГЛОЩАЮЩИХ СВОЙСТВ КОМПОЗИТНОГО ВЯЖУЩЕГО	259
Тургунбаев Уринбек, Шарипова Дилафруз, Худойбердиев Жамшид	
ИССЛЕДОВАНИЕ НАПРЯЖЕННО-ДЕФОРМИРОВАННОГО СОСТОЯНИЯ ЯЧЕЙКИ СВЕТОПРОЗРАЧНОГО ОГРАЖДЕНИЯ ПРИ ВОЗДЕЙСТВИИ ЛОКАЛЬНОЙ СЕЙСМИЧЕСКОЙ НАГРУЗКИ.....	265
Давронов Олимбек, Туляганов Азиз	
PAHTA-TO'QIMACHILIK KLASTERLARNING EKSPORT SALOHİYATINI OSHIRISH YO'NALISHLARI	271
Mamasoliyev G'ayratbek Maxamadyusupovich	
RAQAMLI IQTISODIYOTNING TURIZMDA MOHIYATI VA AHAMIYATI.....	276
Abdullayeva Zulfiya Izzatovna	
MINTAQAVIY SANOAT KORXONALARINING BIZNES JARAYONLARINI TAHLIL QILISH VA BAHOLASHNING ZAMONAVIY USULLARI (BPM, LEAN VA SIX SIGMA YONDASHUVLARI MISOLIDA) ..	279
Azimova Maxfuza Rashidovna	
QURILISH SANOATI KORXONALARINING O'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI VA ULARNI EKOLOGIK BOSHQARISH TAMOIYILLARI	284
Xolov Xamza Tojiddinovich	
O'ZBEKISTONDA AHOLINI QISHLOQ XO'JALIGI MAHSULOTLARI BILAN TA'MINLASHNING IQTISODIY MEKANIZMLARINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH: EKONOMETRIK TAHLIL VA PROGNOZLASH	292
Matjonov Bekjon Ravshonbekovich, Ibragimova Nodira Kadamovna	
A THEORETICAL MODEL LINKING GENDER EQUALITY AND MANAGEMENT EFFICIENCY.....	297
Ochilova Intizor Sadikovna	



A THEORETICAL MODEL LINKING GENDER EQUALITY AND MANAGEMENT EFFICIENCY

Ochilova Intizor Sadikovna

Master's Degree Student

Tashkent Institute of Management and Economics

E-mail: intizor.ochilova84@mail.ru

Abstract. Gender equality in organizational management has evolved from a social justice concern into a strategic imperative for improving overall management efficiency. This article examines the theoretical frameworks underpinning the relationship between gender-balanced leadership and organizational performance. Drawing on human capital theory, social identity theory, team diversity models, and transformational leadership frameworks, the article synthesizes existing theoretical arguments to establish a conceptual model linking gender equality policies to enhanced managerial decision-making, innovation capacity, and organizational resilience.

The paper argues that gender diversity in management teams fosters cognitive diversity, reduces groupthink, expands the talent pool, and aligns organizational culture with contemporary societal values. Furthermore, institutional and stakeholder theories illuminate how gender-equitable practices strengthen legitimacy, attract investment, and enhance employee engagement.

The article concludes by proposing a multidimensional theoretical model and identifying directions for future empirical research.

Keywords: gender equality, management efficiency, organizational performance, leadership diversity, human capital theory, transformational leadership.

Annotatsiya. Tashkiliy boshqaruvda gender tengligi ijtimoiy adolat masalasidan umumiy boshqaruv samaradorligini oshirishga xizmat qiluvchi strategik zaruratga aylandi. Mazkur maqolada gender muvozanatiga asoslangan rahbarlik va tashkilot samaradorligi o'rtasidagi bog'liqlikning nazariy asoslari tahlil qilinadi. Inson kapitali nazariyasi, ijtimoiy identifikatsiya nazariyasi, jamoa xilma-xilligi modellari hamda transformatsion liderlik konsepsiyalariga tayangan holda, gender tengligi siyosatining boshqaruv qarorlarini qabul qilish sifati, innovatsion salohiyat va tashkilot barqarorligiga ta'sirini ifodalovchi konseptual model ishlab chiqiladi.

Maqolada boshqaruv jamoalarida gender xilma-xilligi kognitiv xilma-xillikni kuchaytirishi, biryoqlama fikrlashni kamaytirishi, iste'dodlar bazasini kengaytirishi hamda tashkilot madaniyatini zamonaviy ijtimoiy qadriyatlarga moslashtirishi asoslab beriladi. Shuningdek, institutsional va manfaatdor tomonlar nazariyalari gender tengligiga asoslangan amaliyotlar legitimlikni mustahkamlashi, investitsiyalarni jalb etishi va xodimlar faolligini oshirishini ko'rsatadi.

Maqola ko'p o'lchovli nazariy modelni taklif etish va kelgusidagi empirik tadqiqotlar uchun yo'nalishlarni belgilash bilan yakunlanadi.

Kalit so'zlar: gender tengligi, boshqaruv samaradorligi, tashkilot faoliyati, rahbarlik xilma-xilligi, inson kapitali nazariyasi, transformatsion liderlik.

Аннотация. Гендерное равенство в организационном управлении превратилось из вопроса социальной справедливости в стратегическую необходимость повышения общей эффективности управления. В данной статье рассматриваются теоретические основы взаимосвязи между гендерно-сбалансированным лидерством и эффективностью организации. Опираясь на теорию человеческого капитала, теорию социальной идентичности, модели командного разнообразия и концепции трансформационного лидерства, автор обобщает существующие теоретические подходы и формирует концептуальную модель, связывающую политику гендерного равенства с улучшением управленческих решений, инновационного потенциала и устойчивости организации.

В статье утверждается, что гендерное разнообразие в управленческих командах способствует когнитивному разнообразию, снижает риск группового мышления, расширяет кадровый потенциал и согласует организационную культуру с современными общественными ценностями. Кроме того, институциональная теория и теория заинтересованных сторон показывают, что гендерно-равноправные практики укрепляют легитимность, привлекают инвестиции и повышают вовлечённость сотрудников.

Статья завершается предложением многомерной теоретической модели и определением направлений для будущих эмпирических исследований.

Ключевые слова: гендерное равенство, эффективность управления, организационная эффективность, разнообразие лидерства, теория человеческого капитала, трансформационное лидерство.

INTRODUCTION

The question of whether gender equality in management contributes to organizational effectiveness has gained substantial scholarly and practical attention over the past three decades. As global labor markets continue to grapple with persistent gender gaps in leadership positions—women represent fewer than 30% (World Economic Forum, 2023)—the theoretical grounding for linking gender parity to managerial efficiency requires systematic examination.

Early research framed gender equality primarily within normative and ethical discourse, emphasizing fairness, non-discrimination, and legal compliance. However, recent management research increasingly views gender diversity not merely as a moral obligation, but as a source of competitive advantage and organizational resilience. This shift reflects broader developments in Resource-Based View (RBV) theory, institutional theory, and behavioral decision-making research, all of which provide theoretical pathways connecting gender-balanced leadership to superior organizational outcomes.

Despite growing empirical interest, the field lacks a unified theoretical framework that coherently explains the mechanisms through which gender equality enhances management efficiency. Most existing studies address isolated dimensions—board composition, pay equity, or pipeline policies—without integrating them into a holistic theoretical architecture. This article addresses this gap by synthesizing major theoretical perspectives and developing a conceptual model that maps the pathways from gender equality to management efficiency.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Human capital theory, originally articulated by Becker (1964) and Mincer (1974), posits that investments in education, training, and skills accumulation translate into increased individual and organizational productivity. Applied to gender equality in management, the theory implies that organizations excluding women from managerial pipelines effectively forgo half of the available human capital endowment. As women have achieved parity in most OECD countries, and in many fields surpass men in educational attainment, restricting their advancement constitutes an inefficient allocation of human resources.

Extensions of human capital theory, particularly the concept of relational capital (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998), further argue that gender-diverse teams develop richer networks of internal and external relationships, increasing knowledge transfer and reducing information asymmetry. This relational dimension of human capital is especially relevant in knowledge-intensive industries and in contemporary service-oriented economies where managerial effectiveness is closely tied to social and communicative competencies.

Tajfel and Turner's (1979) social identity theory provides a psychological foundation for understanding how group composition affects collective reasoning. When management teams are homogeneous, they often converge on similar mental models. This reduces information elaboration and increases susceptibility to groupthink (Janis, 1972). Gender-diverse teams, by contrast, are theorized to activate identity-conscious information processing, in which members recognize the value of divergent perspectives and engage in more thorough deliberation.

Building on social identity theory, van Knippenberg and colleagues (2004) developed the categorization-elaboration model (CEM), which distinguishes between the social categorization effects of diversity (potential for in-group/out-group conflict) and information elaboration effects (richer processing of task-relevant knowledge). The CEM predicts that under conditions of low inter-group salience and high task complexity—conditions typical of strategic management contexts—gender diversity produces net positive effects on decision quality by expanding the range of perspectives brought to bear on complex problems.

Transformational leadership theory (Burns, 1978; Bass, 1985) describes a leadership style characterized by inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, and idealized influence. A substantial body of research (Eagly & Carli, 2007; Eagly, Johannesen-Schmidt & van Engen, 2003) has found that women, on average, exhibit higher scores on transformational leadership dimensions compared to men, who more frequently adopt transactional styles centered on contingent reward and management by exception.

Since transformational leadership is positively associated with follower performance, organizational innovation, and adaptability (Lowe, Kroeck & Sivasubramaniam, 1996), the prevalence of transformational behaviors among women managers implies a theoretical pathway from gender inclusion to elevated managerial effectiveness. Importantly, this argument does not depend on essentialist claims about gender differences; rather, it reflects socialization and professional development patterns that can be cultivated irrespective of



biological sex when inclusive leadership cultures are established.

DiMaggio and Powell's (1983) institutional theory argues that organizations conform to prevailing social norms and regulatory frameworks to maintain legitimacy in the eyes of stakeholders—a process termed isomorphism. In contemporary environments, international standards bodies, investors, and regulatory agencies increasingly treat gender diversity as a marker of institutional quality and governance accountability. From an institutional perspective, gender-equitable management practices generate legitimacy-based competitive advantages by aligning organizational structures with societal expectations, thereby attracting talent, investment, and partnership opportunities.

Stakeholder theory (Freeman, 1984) complements this perspective by arguing that organizations that effectively address the interests of diverse stakeholder groups—including female employees, customers, and community members—achieve superior long-run performance. Gender equality in management signals responsiveness to a broad stakeholder constituency, reinforcing trust and reducing agency costs associated with monitoring and enforcement.

Agency theory (Jensen & Meckling, 1976) examines the relationship between principals (shareholders) and agents (managers), focusing on incentive alignment and information asymmetry. Gender-diverse boards and management teams have been theoretically linked to more rigorous monitoring and independent oversight (Adams & Ferreira, 2009). Women directors attend board meetings more regularly, serve on monitoring committees at higher rates, and contribute to greater sensitivity to risk in financial decision-making. These governance behaviors align with agency-theoretic predictions that diversity in supervisory structures reduces principal-agent conflicts and improves resource stewardship.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This present paper employs a theoretical synthesis methodology, integrating established management and organizational behavior theories to construct a conceptual model. The approach follows the framework proposed by Torraco (2005) for integrative literature reviews, which involves systematic identification of relevant theoretical streams, critical analysis of their core propositions, and synthesis into a coherent conceptual architecture.

The theoretical frameworks selected for synthesis—human capital theory, social identity theory, transformational leadership theory, institutional theory, agency theory, and stakeholder theory—were chosen based on three criteria: (1) theoretical relevance to management efficiency constructs; (2) documented application in gender and diversity research; and (3) parsimony and complementarity, enabling integration without redundancy. The selection was informed by a review of seminal texts and contemporary meta-analyses in gender and management literature published between 1964 and 2024.

The conceptual model developed in Section 4 maps theoretical mechanisms onto observable organizational outcomes, distinguishing between direct pathways (e.g., cognitive diversity → decision quality) and moderating conditions (e.g., organizational culture, institutional context). This approach is consistent with theory-building norms in organizational science as described by Whetten (1989), who defines theoretical models in terms of their constituent factors (what), mechanisms (how), and boundary conditions (why and when).

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The synthesis of the reviewed theoretical frameworks yields a multi-dimensional conceptual model linking gender equality policies to management efficiency across four interrelated pathways: (1) cognitive and informational; (2) leadership and behavioral; (3) governance and structural; and (4) legitimacy and stakeholder. Each pathway specifies distinct mechanisms operating at different levels of analysis—individual, group, organizational, and institutional.

Drawing on human capital theory and social identity/CEM frameworks, the cognitive pathway posits that gender-diverse management teams generate broader informational repertoires, reduce confirmation bias, and engage in more elaborative deliberation on complex strategic decisions. The key mediating variable is cognitive diversity, operationalized as variance in problem-framing, risk assessment, and stakeholder sensitivity among team members. This mechanism is expected to improve decision quality, particularly for non-routine, high-complexity decisions characteristic of strategic management.

A critical boundary condition of this pathway is the organizational climate for inclusion. When team members feel psychologically safe to voice distinctive perspectives—a condition associated with inclusive

leadership and equitable norms—the informational benefits of diversity are activated. Absent such conditions, social categorization dynamics may suppress information sharing, producing relationship conflict without performance gain (van Knippenberg et al., 2004).

The leadership pathway, grounded in transformational leadership theory, proposes that increasing the representation of women in management amplifies the adoption of transformational behaviors—motivation, mentorship, intellectual challenge, and ethical modeling—within managerial practice. These behaviors positively influence employee commitment, learning, and adaptive performance, creating a virtuous cycle in which inclusive leadership cultures attract and develop further leadership talent irrespective of gender.

It is theoretically important to note that this pathway is not premised on biological determinism. Rather, it reflects the organizational and socialization dynamics through which gender equality policies, if implemented through leadership development frameworks that reward transformational competencies, reinforce a leadership culture that benefits all managers and their teams. The mechanism operates through the mediating variable of organizational leadership climate.

Agency theory and structural approaches to governance suggest that gender-balanced oversight bodies—executive committees, supervisory boards, and audit committees—contribute to enhanced monitoring integrity, reduced risk tolerance for opportunistic behavior, and more independent judgment in strategic oversight. This pathway operates primarily at the organizational level, improving the quality of governance structures that set the conditions for managerial performance.

The structural mechanism is moderated by formal governance frameworks, including fiduciary mandates, board independence requirements, and transparency reporting obligations. Organizations operating within robust governance frameworks are theorized to experience stronger gender-diversity-to-efficiency effects through this pathway, as the structural conditions magnify the behavioral dispositions of gender-diverse governance actors.

Institutional theory and stakeholder theory converge to describe a legitimacy pathway through which gender-equitable management practices generate external reputational and relational benefits. Organizations perceived as equitable attract more qualified applicants from a broader talent pool, command higher trust from consumers and institutional investors, and face lower regulatory scrutiny. These externalities reduce transaction costs and expand resource acquisition opportunities, ultimately contributing to organizational efficiency through reduced friction in market and non-market environments.

This pathway is particularly salient in sectors with strong gender norms exposure, such as financial services, public administration, education, and healthcare, where stakeholder perceptions of equity are tightly coupled to service quality and organizational trust. The mechanism operates through the mediating variable of organizational reputation for equity, which functions as a signaling device in labor, capital, and product markets.

This paper makes several contributions to the theoretical literature on gender and management. First, by synthesizing diverse theoretical frameworks into a unified multi-pathway model, it provides a more comprehensive and nuanced account of the gender-equality-efficiency relationship than single-theory approaches. Second, it explicitly specifies mediating mechanisms and moderating boundary conditions, which is essential for guiding empirical research design and avoiding the conflation of direct and indirect effects.

Third, the model bridges micro-level behavioral theories (transformational leadership, cognitive diversity) with macro-level structural and institutional perspectives (governance theory, legitimacy theory), responding to calls in the organizational behavior literature for multi-level theoretical integration (House et al., 1995). This multi-level architecture allows the model to capture the full complexity of how gender equality permeates management effectiveness across individual, team, organizational, and environmental levels of analysis.

The proposed model carries actionable implications for organizational leaders and policy architects. It suggests that gender equality interventions are most likely to enhance management efficiency when they are systemic rather than symbolic—addressing talent pipeline development, leadership culture, governance composition, and external reporting simultaneously across all four theoretical pathways. Piecemeal approaches targeting only one dimension (e.g., numerical gender quotas without accompanying inclusion culture reforms) risk activating only a subset of efficiency-enhancing mechanisms while potentially increasing social categorization tensions.

For public policy, the model supports regulatory frameworks that combine mandatory reporting requirements (activating the legitimacy pathway), governance diversity standards (activating the structural pathway), and investment in leadership development programs designed around transformational competencies (activating the leadership pathway). Such multi-pronged policies align with the full theoretical mechanism architecture and are therefore expected to yield more sustained efficiency gains than narrowly framed interventions.

Several limitations of the current theoretical analysis merit acknowledgment. The model is primarily developed within the organizational context of formal, hierarchically structured enterprises predominant in Western capitalist economies. The generalizability of the proposed mechanisms to informal organizations,



cooperative structures, state-owned enterprises, and non-Western institutional contexts requires separate theoretical examination informed by cross-cultural and comparative institutional analysis.

Furthermore, the theoretical model treats gender as a binary and relatively stable social category. Emerging intersectionality frameworks (Crenshaw, 1989; Collins, 2000) and non-binary gender conceptualizations challenge this simplification and suggest that the interaction of gender with race, class, age, and other social identities produces heterogeneous effects not fully captured by models premised on the male-female distinction alone. Future theoretical development should engage more deeply with intersectional dimensions of diversity to generate models with broader explanatory reach.

Future empirical research should focus on testing the proposed pathways using longitudinal research designs capable of establishing causal directionality. Particular attention should be paid to the mediating roles of cognitive diversity, organizational leadership climate, governance integrity, and reputational equity identified in the model, as these constructs remain underspecified in existing empirical literature. Comparative cross-national studies would also be valuable for assessing the moderating role of institutional context on pathway strengths.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This paper has developed a multi-dimensional theoretical model explaining how gender equality in management contributes to organizational efficiency. By synthesizing human capital theory, social identity and cognitive diversity frameworks, transformational leadership theory, institutional and legitimacy theory, agency theory, and stakeholder theory, the model identifies four distinct yet complementary mechanisms: cognitive-informational, leadership-behavioral, governance-structural, and legitimacy-stakeholder pathways.

The analysis demonstrates that the efficiency-enhancing potential of gender equality is not reducible to any single theoretical mechanism, but emerges from the systemic interaction of multiple pathways operating simultaneously at individual, team, organizational, and institutional levels. This insight has important implications for both organizational strategy and public policy, suggesting that comprehensive, multi-dimensional approaches to gender equality are most likely to yield sustained improvements in management effectiveness.

Ultimately, the theoretical integration presented here positions gender equality not as an ethical add-on to management theory, but as a constitutive dimension of management efficiency itself. Organizations that systematically cultivate gender-equitable cultures, structures, and practices are, according to the proposed model, engaging in a form of organizational capability development with direct and measurable returns on managerial performance, governance quality, and long-term institutional resilience.

REFERENCES

1. Adams, R. B., & Ferreira, D. (2009). Women in the boardroom and their impact on governance and performance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 94(2), 291–309.
2. Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
3. Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. University of Chicago Press.
4. Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.
5. Collins, P. H. (2000). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
6. Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139–167.
7. DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160.
8. Eagly, A. H., Johannesen-Schmidt, M. C., & van Engen, M. L. (2003). Transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership styles: A meta-analysis comparing women and men. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(4), 569–591.
9. Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman Publishing.
10. House, R., Rousseau, D. M., & Thomas-Hunt, M. (1995). The meso paradigm: A framework for the integration of micro and macro organizational behavior. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 17, 71–114.
11. Janis, I. L. (1972). *Victims of groupthink: A psychological study of foreign-policy decisions and fiascoes*. Houghton Mifflin.
12. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360.
13. Lowe, K. B., Kroeck, K. G., & Sivasubramaniam, N. (1996). Effectiveness correlates of transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic review of the MLQ literature. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 7(3), 385–425.
14. Mincer, J. (1974). *Schooling, experience, and earnings*. Columbia University Press.



15. Nahapiet, J., & Ghoshal, S. (1998). Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(2), 242–266.
16. Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33–47). Brooks/Cole.
17. Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing integrative literature reviews: Guidelines and examples. *Human Resource Development Review*, 4(3), 356–367.
18. van Knippenberg, D., De Dreu, C. K. W., & Homan, A. C. (2004). Work group diversity and group performance: An integrative model and research agenda. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(6), 1008–1022.
19. Whetten, D. A. (1989). What constitutes a theoretical contribution? *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 490–495.
20. World Economic Forum. (2023). *Global gender gap report 2023*. World Economic Forum.

muhandislik

& iqtisodiyot

ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal

Ingliz tili muharriri: Feruz Hakimov

Musahhih: Zokir Alibekov

Sahifalovchi va dizayner: Abdurahmon Qurbonov

2026. № 4

© Materiallar ko'chirib bosilganda "Muhandislik va iqtisodiyot" jurnali manba sifatida ko'rsatilishi shart. Jurnalda bosilgan material va reklamalardagi dalillarning aniqligiga mualliflar ma'sul. Tahririyat fikri har vaqt ham mualliflar fikriga mos kelmasligi mumkin. Tahririyatga yuborilgan materiallar qaytarilmaydi.

"Muhandislik va iqtisodiyot" jurnali 26.06.2023-yildan
O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Adminstratsiyasi huzuridagi
Axborot va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar agentligi tomonidan
№S-5669245 reyestr raqami tartibi bo'yicha ro'yxatdan o'tkazilgan.
Litsenziya raqami: №095310.

**Manzilimiz: Toshkent shahri Yunusobod
tumani 15-mavze 19-uy**





+998 93 718 40 07



<https://muhandislik-iqtisodiyot.uz/index.php/journal>



t.me/yait_2100