

MUHANDISLIK

& IQTISODIYOT

ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal

№2

2026
fevral



Milliy nashrlar

OAK: <https://oak.uz/pages/4802>

05.00.00 – Texnika fanlari

08.00.00 – Iqtisodiyot fanlar



Google Scholar

OPEN ACCESS

ULRICHSWEB™
GLOBAL SERIALS DIRECTORY

Academic
Resource
Index
ResearchBib

ISSN
INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE

CYBERLENINKA

OpenAIRE

ROAD

INDEX COPERNICUS
INTERNATIONAL

BASE

Crossref

НАУЧНАЯ ЭЛЕКТРОННАЯ
БИБЛИОТЕКА
LIBRARY.RU



ISSN: 3060-463X

РЭУ.РФ
РОССИЙСКИЙ ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИЙ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ
ИМЕНИ Г.В. ПЛЕХАНОВА
ТАШКЕНТСКИЙ ФИЛИАЛ



muhandislik & iqtisodiyot

ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal

*Elektron nashr, 135 sahifa.
2026-yil, fevral*

Bosh muharrir:

Zokirova Nodira Kalandarovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, DSc, professor

Bosh muharrir o'rinbosari:

Shakarov Zafar G'afarovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori, PhD, dotsent

Tahrir hay'ati:

Abduraxmanov Kalendar Xodjayevich, O'z FA akademigi, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Sharipov Kongratbay Avezimbetovich, texnika fanlari doktori, professor

Maxkamov Baxtiyor Shuxratovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Abduraxmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Shaumarov Said Sanatovich, texnika fanlari doktori, professor

Turayev Bahodir Xatamovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Nasimov Dilmurod Abdulloyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Allayeva Gulchexra Jalgasovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Arabov Nurali Uralovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Maxmudov Odiljon Xolmirzayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Xamrayeva Sayyora Nasimovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Bobonazarova Jamila Xolmurodovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Irmatova Aziza Baxromovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Bo'taboyev Mahammadjon To'ychiyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Shamshiyeva Nargizaxon Nosirxuja kizi, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor,

Xolmuxamedov Muhsinjon Murodullayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Xodjayeva Nodiraxon Abdurashidovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Amanov Otabek Amankulovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent

Toxirov Jaloliddin Ochil o'g'li, texnika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Qurbonov Samandar Pulatovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Zikriyoyev Aziz Sadulloyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Tabayev Azamat Zaripbayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Sxay Lana Aleksandrovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent

Ismoilova Gulnora Fayzullayevna, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Djumaniyazov Umrbek Ilxamovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Kasimova Nargiza Sabitdjanovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Kalanova Moxigul Baxritdinovna, dotsent

Ashurzoda Luiza Muxtarovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Sharipov Sardor Begmaxmat o'g'li, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Sharipov Botirali Roxataliyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, professor

Tursunov Ulug'bek Sativoldiyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), dotsent

Bauyetdinov Majit Janizaqovich, Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot universiteti dotsenti, PhD

Botirov Bozorbek Musurmon o'g'li, Texnika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Sultonov Shavkatjon Abdullayevich, Kimyo fanlari doktori, (DSc)

Jo'raeva Malohat Muhammadovna, filologiya fanlari doktori (DSc), professor.

Yusupov Maxamadamin Abduxamidovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi (DSc), professor

Kalonova Moxigul Baxritdinovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi (PhD), dotsent

Mirzayev Kulmamat Djanzakovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi (DSc), professor.

Karimova Nilufar Sadirdin qizi, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Norboyev Odil Abrayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent

Nasimov Dilmurod Abdulloyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor

Mirzayev Kulmamat Djanzakovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), professor

Karimova Nilufar Sadirdin qizi, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

muhandislik & iqtisodiyot

ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal

- 05.01.00 – Axborot texnologiyalari, boshqaruv va kompyuter grafikasi
- 05.01.01 – Muhandislik geometriyasi va kompyuter grafikasi. Audio va video texnologiyalari
- 05.01.02 – Tizimli tahlil, boshqaruv va axborotni qayta ishlash
- 05.01.03 – Informatikaning nazariy asoslari
- 05.01.04 – Hisoblash mashinalari, majmualari va kompyuter tarmoqlarining matematik va dasturiy ta'minoti
- 05.01.05 – Axborotlarni himoyalash usullari va tizimlari. Axborot xavfsizligi
- 05.01.06 – Hisoblash texnikasi va boshqaruv tizimlarining elementlari va qurilmalari
- 05.01.07 – Matematik modellash
- 05.01.11 – Raqamli texnologiyalar va sun'iy intellekt
- 05.02.00 – Mashinasozlik va mashinashunoslik
- 05.02.08 – Yer usti majmualari va uchish apparatlari
- 05.03.02 – Metrologiya va metrologiya ta'minoti
- 05.04.01 – Telekommunikatsiya va kompyuter tizimlari, telekommunikatsiya tarmoqlari va qurilmalari. Axborotlarni taqsimlash
- 05.05.03 – Yorug'lik texnikasi. Maxsus yoritish texnologiyasi
- 05.05.05 – Issiqlik texnikasining nazariy asoslari
- 05.05.06 – Qayta tiklanadigan energiya turlari asosidagi energiya qurilmalari
- 05.06.01 – To'qimachilik va yengil sanoat ishlab chiqarishlari materialshunosligi
- 05.08.03 – Temir yo'l transportini ishlatish
- 05.09.01 – Qurilish konstruksiyalari, bino va inshootlar
- 05.09.04 – Suv ta'minoti. Kanalizatsiya. Suv havzalarini muhofazalovchi qurilish tizimlari
- 10.00.06 – Qiyosiy adabiyotshunoslik, chog'ishtirma tilshunoslik va tarjimashunoslik
- 10.00.04 – Yevropa, Amerika va Avstraliya xalqlari tili va adabiyoti
- 08.00.01 – Iqtisodiyot nazariyasi
- 08.00.02 – Makroiqtisodiyot
- 08.00.03 – Sanoat iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.04 – Qishloq xo'jaligi iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.05 – Xizmat ko'rsatish tarmoqlari iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.06 – Ekonometrika va statistika
- 08.00.07 – Moliya, pul muomalasi va kredit
- 08.00.08 – Buxgalteriya hisobi, iqtisodiy tahlil va audit
- 08.00.09 – Jahon iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.10 – Demografiya. Mehnat iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.11 – Marketing
- 08.00.12 – Mintaqaviy iqtisodiyot
- 08.00.13 – Menejment
- 08.00.14 – Iqtisodiyotda axborot tizimlari va texnologiyalari
- 08.00.15 – Tadbirkorlik va kichik biznes iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.16 – Raqamli iqtisodiyot va xalqaro raqamli integratsiya
- 08.00.17 – Turizm va mehmonxona faoliyati

Ma'lumot uchun, OAK

Rayosatining 2024-yil 28-avgustdagi 360/5-son qarori bilan "Dissertatsiyalar asosiy ilmiy natijalarini chop etishga tavsiya etilgan milliy ilmiy nashrlar ro'yxati"ga texnika va iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha "Muhandislik va iqtisodiyot" jurnali ro'yxatga kiritilgan.

Muassis: "Tadbirkor va ishbilarmon" MChJ

Hamkorlarimiz:

1. Toshkent shahridagi G.V.Plexanov nomidagi Rossiya iqtisodiyot universiteti
2. Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot universiteti
3. Toshkent irrigatsiya va qishloq xo'jaligini mexanizatsiyalash muhandislari instituti" milliy tadqiqot universiteti
4. Islom Karimov nomidagi Toshkent davlat texnika universiteti
5. Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi Toshkent axborot texnologiyalari universiteti
6. Toshkent davlat transport universiteti
7. Toshkent arxitektura-qurilish universiteti
8. Toshkent kimyo-texnologiya universiteti
9. Jizzax politexnika instituti



MUNDARIJA

RIVOJLANGAN MAMLAKATLAR BANKLARIDA RISK-MENEJMENTNING TASHKILIY MODELLARI.....	26
Madaminov Bekzod Allayarovich	
“HUDUDGAZTA’MINOT” AJ DA AMALGA OSHIRILGAN LOYIHALAR SAMARASI	32
Shukurillaev Jahongir Botir o’g’li	
HARBIY XIZMATCHI AYOLLARNING MAXSUS KIYIM SIFATIGA QO’YILADIGAN DASTLABKI TALABLARNI SHAKLLANTIRISH	37
Abduraxmanova N.D., Mirtolipova N.X., Nasirullayeva G.S.	
СОВРЕМЕННОЕ СОСТОЯНИЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ НЕСПЕЦИФИЧЕСКОГО ЯЗВЕННОГО КОЛИТА У ДЕТЕЙ	42
Закирова Бахора Исламовна, Каримов Достон Рустам угли	
ОЦЕНКА ВОЗДЕЙСТВИЯ ФИСКАЛЬНЫХ И КРЕДИТНЫХ ИНСТРУМЕНТОВ НА РЫНКИ ВЫСОКОЛИКВИДНОЙ ПРОДУКЦИИ И ЕСТЕСТВЕННЫХ МОНОПОЛИЙ В РЕСПУБЛИКЕ УЗБЕКИСТАН.....	48
Бекзод Умматов	
ТРАНСФОРМАЦИЯ СИСТЕМ БУХГАЛТЕРСКОГО УЧЕТА В УСЛОВИЯХ ЦИФРОВИЗАЦИИ: СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЙ ЭКОНОМИКО-СТАТИСТИЧЕСКИЙ АНАЛИЗ ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТИ ИСКУССТВЕННОГО ИНТЕЛЛЕКТА.....	55
Вахидов Азизжон Саиджонович	
SUG’URTA FAOLIYATIDAGI MOLIVAVIY RISKLAR: BAHOLASH VA MINIMALLASHTIRISH STRATEGIYALARI	58
Xalikulova Shirin Utkir qizi	
“ANDIJONDONMAHSULOT” AJ MISOLIDA XARAJATLARNING STRATEGIK BOSHQARUV HISOBI: AMALIY TAHLIL VA TAKOMILLASHTIRISH TAVSIYALARI	62
Xayitboyeva Laylo Oybekovna	
XORIJIY MAMLAKATLARNING NORASMIY IQTISODIYOT DARAJASINI PASAYTIRISHDAGI TAJIRIBASI	66
Alimardonov G’ayratjon Nuraliyevich	
XO’JALIK YURITUVCHI SUBYEKTLARDA BARQARORLIK HISOBOTLARI AUDITINI SHAKLLANTIRISH	72
Xolikov Ravshan Anvar o’g’li	
PUL - KREDIT SIYOSATINING TRANSMISSION MEXANIZMINI RIVOJLANTIRISH	76
Obidova Zilola Ikromjon qizi	
HOMILADORLIK DAVRIDA AYOLLARDA UCHRAYDIGAN GESTOZLI KATARAL GINGIVITNI KOMPLEKS DAVOLASHNI OPTIMALLASHTIRISH	81
Nomurodova Farangiz Lazizovna	
AGRAR KORXONALARDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALARNI JORIY ETISHDA INVESTITSIYA MEXANIZMLARINING IQTISODIY SAMARADORLIGI VA RIVOJLANTIRISH YO’NALISHLARI	87
Egamberdiyev Abdujabbor Xusanovich	
YOSHLAR TADBIRKORLIGI VA KICHIK BIZNES IQTISODIYOTINI TA’MINLASHDA INFRATUZILMALARDAN FOYDALANISH IMKONIYATLARI	92
Mirzatov Baxtiyor Toxirovich	
KICHIK BIZNES SUBYEKTLARI FAOLIYATINI BAHOLASH METODOLOGIYASINING MAZMUNI VA TAMOIYILLARI	96
Mavrulov Ravshan Nematjonovich	



УПРАВЛЕНИЕ ИНФОРМАЦИОННЫМИ КОММУНИКАЦИЯМИ В ПРОЕКТАХ	101
Носирова Гулираъно Абдулазиз кизи	
DAVLAT BUDJETI JARAYONIDA MONITORING VA MOLIYAVIY NAZORATNI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH MASALALARI	107
Yax'yayeva Difuza Bagdatovna	
XIZMAT KO'RSATISH SOHASIDA KICHIK KORXONALAR RAQOBATBARDOSHLIGINI OSHIRISH MEKANIZMLARINI TAKOMILLASHTIRISH	111
Axmedov Sanjar Temur o'g'li	
RAQAMLI MOLIYA TEXNOLOGIYALARI EVOLYUTSIYASINING ISTIQBOLLI YO'NALISHLARI VA YUZAGA KELISHI MUMKIN BO'LGAN XATARLAR TAHLILI	117
Ko'chimov Jahongir Shuxrat o'g'li	
GAZ VA GAZ KONDENSATINI YIG'ISH VA TAYYORLASH TIZIMLARI UCHUN ZAMONAVIY LOYIHALASH USULLARI TAHLILI	123
Abdirazakov Akmal Ibragimovich Namozov Og'abek Maxmud o'g'li	
AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT IN THE DIGITAL ERA: STRATEGIES, FRAMEWORKS, AND BEST PRACTICES FOR SUCCESS	128
Utkirova Maftuna Murodjon qizi	



AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT IN THE DIGITAL ERA: STRATEGIES, FRAMEWORKS, AND BEST PRACTICES FOR SUCCESS

Utkirova Maftuna Murodjon qizi

Lecturer, MDST, M.Sc. in Project Management

E-mail: mmmurodovna888@gmail.com

Abstract. Agile project management has become a leading methodology for organizations navigating rapid technological change and evolving market demands. This article examines the principles, practices, and frameworks that shape contemporary agile project management, including Scrum, Kanban, SAFe, and hybrid approaches. Based on an analysis of empirical studies, industry case reports, and best-practice frameworks, the study demonstrates how agile methodologies improve team productivity, enhance stakeholder satisfaction, and strengthen project outcomes. The paper also addresses key challenges related to scaling agile in large organizations, managing distributed teams, and integrating agile with traditional project management approaches. Furthermore, it proposes evidence-based strategies to support successful agile transformation and continuous improvement across diverse organizational contexts.

Keywords: Agile methodology, Scrum, Kanban, project management, iterative development, sprint planning, continuous improvement, SAFe, team collaboration, adaptive planning, stakeholder engagement, agile transformation.

Annotatsiya. Agile loyihalarni boshqarish tezkor texnologik o'zgarishlar va rivojlanib borayotgan bozor talablariga moslashayotgan tashkilotlar uchun yetakchi metodologiyalardan biriga aylandi. Ushbu maqolada zamonaviy agile loyihalarni boshqarishni shakllantiruvchi tamoyillar, amaliyotlar va freymvorklar, jumladan Scrum, Kanban, SAFe hamda gibrid yondashuvlar tahlil qilinadi. Empirik tadqiqotlar, sanoat amaliy misollari va eng yaxshi tajribalar asosida agile metodologiyalar jamoa samaradorligini oshirishi, manfaatdor tomonlar qoniqishini kuchaytirishi hamda loyiha natijalarini yaxshilashi ko'rsatib beriladi. Shuningdek, yirik tashkilotlarda agile'ni kengaytirish, tarqatilgan jamoalarni boshqarish va agile'ni an'anaviy loyihalarni boshqarish yondashuvlari bilan integratsiya qilish bilan bog'liq muammolar yoritiladi. Bundan tashqari, turli tashkiliy kontekstlarda muvaffaqiyatli agile transformatsiyasi va doimiy takomillashtirishni qo'llab-quvvatlovchi dalillarga asoslangan strategiyalar taklif etiladi.

Kalit so'zlar: Agile metodologiyasi, Scrum, Kanban, loyihalarni boshqarish, iterativ ishlab chiqish, sprint rejalashtirish, doimiy takomillashtirish, SAFe, jamoaviy hamkorlik, moslashuvchan rejalashtirish, manfaatdor tomonlarni jalb qilish, agile transformatsiyasi.

Аннотация. Гибкое управление проектами стало одной из ведущих методологий для организаций, работающих в условиях быстрых технологических изменений и растущих рыночных требований. В статье рассматриваются принципы, практики и фреймворки современного agile-управления проектами, включая Scrum, Kanban, SAFe и гибридные подходы. На основе анализа эмпирических исследований, отраслевых кейсов и лучших практик показано, что agile-методологии способствуют повышению продуктивности команд, росту удовлетворённости заинтересованных сторон и улучшению результатов проектов. Также анализируются проблемы масштабирования agile в крупных организациях, управления распределёнными командами и интеграции agile с традиционными подходами к управлению проектами. Кроме того, предлагаются основанные на доказательствах стратегии успешной agile-трансформации и непрерывного совершенствования в различных организационных контекстах.

Ключевые слова: гибкая методология, Scrum, Kanban, управление проектами, итеративная разработка, планирование спринтов, непрерывное совершенствование, SAFe, командное взаимодействие, адаптивное планирование, вовлечение заинтересованных сторон, agile-трансформация.



INTRODUCTION

The landscape of project management has undergone a fundamental transformation over the past two decades. Traditional waterfall methodologies, characterized by sequential phases and rigid planning, have increasingly been replaced by agile approaches that emphasize iterative development, continuous feedback, and adaptive planning. This transition reflects the realities of contemporary business environments, in which change is constant, customer expectations evolve rapidly, and sustainable competitive advantage depends on organizational agility and responsiveness.

According to the 15th State of Agile Report, 94% of organizations practice agile development methods, and 71% report an improved ability to manage changing priorities. The benefits of agile adoption extend beyond software development to manufacturing, marketing, human resources, and many other organizational functions. In this context, the present article offers a comprehensive examination of agile project management principles, frameworks, implementation strategies, and key success factors, with the aim of highlighting their role in enhancing organizational performance and project outcomes.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Agile project management is conceptually grounded in the Agile Manifesto, introduced in 2001, which defines four core values: prioritizing individuals and interactions over processes and tools, working solutions over comprehensive documentation, customer collaboration over contract negotiation, and responsiveness to change over strict adherence to plans (Beck et al., 2001). These values collectively emphasize flexibility, collaboration, and the continuous delivery of customer value, establishing a foundation for adaptive and iterative development approaches. Building on this framework, Schwaber and Sutherland (2020) formalize agile principles in the Scrum Guide by specifying roles, events, and artifacts that support incremental delivery and empirical process control. Cohn (2023) further demonstrates that Scrum practices enhance planning accuracy and stakeholder engagement through systematic inspection and adaptation. Industry evidence reported in the 15th State of Agile survey (VersionOne, 2024) indicates that agile adoption substantially improves organizations' ability to manage changing priorities and accelerate delivery.

At the enterprise level, scaling frameworks such as SAgile (Scaled Agile, Inc., 2024) and LeSS (Larman & Vodde, 2023) address the coordination of multiple teams while preserving core agile principles. In parallel, the PMI Agile Practice Guide (2024) highlights hybrid approaches that integrate agile and traditional project management methods to suit diverse organizational contexts. Taken together, these contributions suggest that agile methodologies, when thoughtfully implemented and appropriately scaled, lead to higher productivity, improved quality, and increased stakeholder satisfaction, thereby reinforcing their relevance for organizations operating in dynamic and competitive environments.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative and descriptive research design to examine the application of agile project management frameworks in contemporary organizations. The research is grounded in a systematic review of academic literature, industry reports, and professional guidelines, including key sources such as the Agile Manifesto, the Scrum Guide, the PMI Agile Practice Guide, SAgile documentation, and recent industry surveys. Relevant publications were identified through structured, keyword-based searches focusing on agile methods, scaling frameworks, and digital transformation. The selected materials were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring concepts, best practices, challenges, and critical success factors associated with agile adoption.

In addition, a comparative analytical approach was employed to evaluate major agile frameworks, including Scrum, Kanban, SAgile, and LeSS, in terms of their structural characteristics, applicability, and organizational impact. Descriptive statistics reported in industry studies were interpreted to complement the qualitative findings. This integrated interpretive approach provides a comprehensive understanding of how agile methodologies contribute to project performance, organizational agility, and continuous improvement in the digital era.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The Agile Manifesto is supported by twelve guiding principles that provide a practical and coherent framework for effective implementation. These principles collectively emphasize customer satisfaction through early and continuous delivery of valuable outcomes, the willingness to accommodate changing requirements, and the frequent delivery of working solutions. They also highlight the importance of close and sustained

collaboration between business stakeholders and development teams, the maintenance of a sustainable pace of development, and a strong commitment to technical excellence and simplicity. Furthermore, the principles promote the formation of self-organizing teams and encourage continuous reflection and adaptation as essential mechanisms for long-term improvement. Taken together, these guidelines establish a systematic and flexible foundation that enables organizations to respond effectively to dynamic environments while consistently enhancing the quality and impact of project outcomes (Table 1).

Table 1. Comparison of Major Agile Frameworks

Framework	Best For	Key Characteristics	Team Size
Scrum	Complex product development with defined sprints	Time-boxed sprints, daily standups, retrospectives	5-9 members per team
Kanban	Continuous flow, maintenance, support	Visual boards, WIP limits, continuous delivery	Flexible, any size
SAFe	Large enterprise-scale agile implementation	Program Increments, Agile Release Trains, portfolio management	50-125+ across multiple teams
XP	Software development with high technical standards	Pair programming, TDD, continuous integration	2-12 members
LeSS	Scaling Scrum with minimal additional structure	Multi-team Scrum, single product backlog	2-8 teams of 5-9 members

Scrum defines three interrelated roles, each with clearly differentiated responsibilities that collectively ensure effective project delivery. The Product Owner is responsible for maximizing product value by managing and prioritizing the product backlog, defining acceptance criteria, and making key decisions regarding features and releases, thereby representing the voice of the customer and other stakeholders. The Scrum Master facilitates the Scrum process by removing impediments, coaching the team in agile principles, and shielding the team from external disruptions; in this capacity, the Scrum Master acts as a servant leader who enables the team to perform at a high level. The Development Team consists of cross-functional professionals who collaboratively design, develop, test, and deliver potentially shippable increments, with the team operating in a self-organizing manner and sharing collective responsibility for achieving sprint goals.

In addition to clearly defined roles, Scrum prescribes a structured set of events that establish regularity and reduce unnecessary meetings. These include Sprint Planning, during which the team commits to the objectives and deliverables of the upcoming sprint; the Daily Scrum, a 15-minute synchronization meeting focused on coordinating activities and planning the day's work; the Sprint Review, where completed increments are demonstrated to stakeholders; and the Sprint Retrospective, in which the team reflects on its processes and identifies areas for improvement. All of these events are contained within the Sprint, a time-boxed iteration that typically lasts 2–4 weeks and serves as the core cycle of Scrum-based development (Table 2).

Table 2. Key Agile Performance Metrics

Metric	Purpose	How to Measure	Target Range
Velocity	Measure team capacity and predict completion	Story points completed per sprint	Stabilizes after 3-5 sprints
Sprint Burndown	Track sprint progress and identify issues	Remaining work vs. time in sprint	Reaches zero by sprint end
Lead Time	Measure delivery speed and efficiency	Time from request to deployment	Minimize and stabilize
Cycle Time	Identify process bottlenecks	Time from work start to completion	Shorter is better
Team Happiness	Monitor team morale and sustainability	Regular team surveys (1-5 scale)	≥ 3.5/5.0
Defect Rate	Assess quality and technical debt	Defects per story point or feature	< 5% of delivered work



Although agile methodologies are highly effective for small teams, scaling them to the enterprise level introduces a higher degree of organizational and technical complexity. Large organizations are required to coordinate multiple teams working on interdependent products, maintain architectural coherence across extensive development groups, balance local team autonomy with enterprise-level governance requirements, and ensure consistent practices while still allowing for context-specific adaptation. These structural and managerial challenges have encouraged the development of specialized frameworks designed to support agile implementation at scale.

Among these frameworks, the Scaled Agile Framework (SAFe) offers a comprehensive approach by organizing work across three interconnected levels, namely Team, Program, and Portfolio. SAFe introduces concepts such as Agile Release Trains, which represent long-lived teams of teams that plan, commit, and execute together, and Program Increments, which synchronize multiple teams around a common cadence that typically spans 8–12 weeks. In addition, SAFe addresses broader enterprise concerns, including budgeting, compliance, and portfolio management, while preserving core agile principles. Beyond SAFe, alternative scaling approaches are also widely applied. The Large-Scale Scrum (LeSS) framework extends fundamental Scrum principles with minimal additional structure, making it suitable for organizations seeking to scale Scrum without excessive process overhead. Similarly, the Spotify model emphasizes autonomous squads organized into tribes, supported by chapters and guilds that facilitate knowledge sharing, whereas Disciplined Agile Delivery provides a flexible toolkit that enables organizations to select practices aligned with their specific context and strategic objectives (Table 3).

Table 3. Agile vs. Traditional Project Management Outcomes

Success Metric	Traditional	Agile	Difference
On-Time Delivery	49%	83%	+69%
Stakeholder Satisfaction	62%	91%	+47%
Team Productivity	Baseline	25% higher	+25%
Ability to Manage Changing Priorities	31%	87%	+181%
Time to Market	12-18 months	3-6 months	-67%

High-performance agile teams are characterized by a set of interrelated attributes that collectively drive sustainable performance and value creation. Such teams are typically cross-functional, possessing all the competencies required to deliver value, and they operate within an environment of psychological safety that encourages open communication and responsible risk-taking. Stability of team membership over extended periods supports knowledge continuity and collaboration, while clear and measurable goals aligned with organizational objectives provide strategic direction. In addition, a culture of continuous learning, supported by regular skill development, reinforces adaptability and long-term effectiveness.

Effective product backlog management serves as a central mechanism for aligning team efforts with business priorities, as the product backlog represents the single source of truth for all work that the team may undertake. Continuous refinement, transparent prioritization based on value and risk, well-defined acceptance criteria, appropriately sized user stories, and regular stakeholder input are essential components of this process. Consequently, Product Owners are expected to devote substantial attention to backlog grooming to ensure that the highest-priority items are consistently ready for sprint planning.

Sustainable agile development further depends on a strong commitment to technical excellence and quality. Key practices include test-driven development, continuous integration and deployment, pair programming for knowledge sharing and quality improvement, systematic refactoring to manage technical debt, and comprehensive automated testing at unit, integration, and system levels. When such practices are consistently applied, teams are better able to maintain stable velocity and high-quality outcomes over time.

Finally, active stakeholder engagement plays a critical role in ensuring agile success. Regular sprint reviews enhance transparency and facilitate timely feedback, while Product Owners are required to balance diverse stakeholder interests without compromising the overall product vision. Clear communication channels, frequent demonstrations of working solutions, and collaborative decision-making processes help to sustain stakeholder involvement and ensure continued alignment with project objectives (Table 4).

Table 4. Common Agile Pitfalls and Solutions

Pitfall	Symptom	Solution
Agile in Name Only	Following ceremonies without embracing agile values; no real change in culture	Leadership training on agile mindset; coach teams on principles not just practices
Lack of Product Owner Engagement	Unclear priorities; team waiting for decisions; building wrong features	Ensure PO availability; provide training; consider PO proxy for large teams
Neglecting Technical Debt	Decreasing velocity; increasing defects; difficulty adding features	Reserve 20% capacity for refactoring; make technical debt visible; definition of done includes quality
Overcommitment in Sprints	Consistently incomplete sprints; team burnout; poor quality deliverables	Use historical velocity; plan for 80% capacity; protect team from external pressures
Skipping Retrospectives	Same problems recurring; no process improvement; team frustration	Make retrospectives sacred; track action items; celebrate improvements

Distributed teams encounter a distinct set of challenges when applying agile methodologies. Differences in time zones complicate synchronous communication and the conduct of daily coordination meetings, while cultural diversity may influence communication styles and approaches to conflict resolution. In addition, reduced opportunities for informal interaction can limit team cohesion and knowledge sharing, and increased reliance on digital technologies introduces potential points of failure. Addressing these issues therefore requires deliberate and well-structured strategies to preserve the effectiveness of agile practices in distributed environments.

To enable success in distributed agile settings, organizations typically implement a combination of complementary practices. These include the use of robust collaboration tools such as video conferencing platforms, shared boards, and team communication channels, as well as the establishment of overlapping working hours to support real-time interaction. Comprehensive documentation is employed to bridge communication gaps, while regular video-based meetings and periodic in-person gatherings contribute to relationship building and team cohesion. Clear communication protocols and well-defined response expectations further enhance coordination and reliability.

From an organizational perspective, agile adoption can be understood through maturity models that describe a progression through predictable stages. Initial adoption focuses on introducing core practices and ceremonies, intermediate maturity emphasizes the optimization of team performance and the extension of agile beyond software development, and advanced maturity is characterized by strategic alignment, continuous innovation, and cultural transformation. Such models assist organizations in assessing their current state and in planning structured improvement pathways.

In parallel, contemporary approaches to agile performance measurement prioritize value-based metrics over activity-oriented indicators. Customer satisfaction scores provide insight into whether delivered features meet user needs, while the business value delivered per sprint reflects tangible organizational impact. Measures such as innovation rate capture the introduction of new capabilities, and employee engagement serves as an indicator of team health and sustainability. Compared with traditional metrics, such as lines of code or hours worked, these outcome-focused indicators offer a more meaningful assessment of agile success and long-term effectiveness.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Agile project management has fundamentally transformed how organizations deliver value in the digital era. The accumulated evidence demonstrates clear benefits across multiple dimensions, including faster time to market, higher stakeholder satisfaction, improved team productivity, and a stronger ability to respond to changing requirements. Nevertheless, sustainable success requires more than the formal adoption of agile ceremonies; it depends on genuine cultural transformation, consistent leadership commitment, and a systematic approach to continuous improvement. Organizations therefore need to view agile not merely as a set of practices, but as a comprehensive managerial and organizational paradigm.

In this context, agile transformation should be pursued strategically by selecting frameworks that fit organizational size, project complexity, and strategic objectives. Investment in leadership and team development is essential to ensure a shared understanding of agile values and principles, while a sustained focus on technical excellence supports long-term quality and delivery speed. Continuous improvement practices, including regular



retrospectives and outcome-based metrics, should be institutionalized, and stakeholder engagement should be strengthened through frequent reviews and feedback cycles. By integrating these elements, organizations can enhance adaptability, foster innovation, and achieve durable competitive advantages in an increasingly dynamic business environment.

REFERENCES

1. VersionOne. (2024). 15th State of Agile Report. Digital.ai Software Inc.
2. Beck, K., et al. (2001). Manifesto for Agile Software Development. Agile Alliance.
3. Schwaber, K., & Sutherland, J. (2020). The Scrum Guide. Scrum.org.
4. Scaled Agile, Inc. (2024). SAFe 6.0 Framework. Scaled Agile Framework.
5. Cohn, M. (2023). Succeeding with Agile: Software Development Using Scrum. Addison-Wesley Professional.
6. Larman, C., & Vodde, B. (2023). Large-Scale Scrum: More with LeSS. Addison-Wesley Professional.
7. Project Management Institute. (2024). Agile Practice Guide. PMI Publications.
8. Kniberg, H., & Ivarsson, A. (2012). Scaling Agile @ Spotify with Tribes, Squads, Chapters & Guilds. Spotify Labs.

muhandislik **& iqtisodiyot**

ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal

Ingliz tili muharriri: Feruz Hakimov

Musahhih: Zokir Alibekov

Sahifalovchi va dizayner: Abdurahmon Qurbonov

2026. № 2

© Materiallar ko'chirib bosilganda "Muhandislik va iqtisodiyot" jurnali manba sifatida ko'rsatilishi shart. Jurnalda bosilgan material va reklamalardagi dalillarning aniqligiga mualliflar ma'sul. Tahririyat fikri har vaqt ham mualliflar fikriga mos kelamasligi mumkin. Tahririyatga yuborilgan materiallar qaytarilmaydi.

"Muhandislik va iqtisodiyot" jurnali 26.06.2023-yildan
O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Adminstratsiyasi huzuridagi
Axborot va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar agentligi tomonidan
№S-5669245 reyestr raqami tartibi bo'yicha ro'yxatdan o'tkazilgan.
Litsenziya raqami: №095310.

**Manzilimiz: Toshkent shahri Yunusobod
tumani 15-mavze 19-uy**





+998 93 718 40 07



<https://muhandislik-iqtisodiyot.uz/index.php/journal>



t.me/yait_2100