

MUHANDISLIK & IQTISODIYOT

*ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal*

No 8

2025
avgust



Milliy nashrlar

OAK: <https://oak.uz/pages/4802>

05.00.00 - Texnika fanlari

08.00.00 - Iqtisodiyot fanlar



Google
Scholar

OPEN
ACCES

ULRICH'S WEB™
GLOBAL SERIALS DIRECTORY

Academic
Resource
Index
ResearchBib

ISSN
INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE

CYBERLENINKA

OpenAIRE

ROAD

INDEX COPERNICUS
INTERNATIONAL

BASE

Crossref

НАУЧНАЯ ЭЛЕКТРОННАЯ
LIBRARY.RU



РЭУ.РФ
РОССИЙСКИЙ ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИЙ УНИВЕРСИТЕТ
ИМЕНИ Г.В. ПЛЕХАНОВА
ТАШКЕНТСКИЙ ФИЛИАЛ

TDIU
TOSHKENT DAVLAT IQTISODIYOT UNIVERSITETI
1931

TOPI
TOSHKENT DAVLAT IQTISODIYOT UNIVERSITETI

TDTU
TOSHKENT DAVLAT TEKHNICHKA UNIVERSITETI
1955

TJU
TOSHKENT DAVLAT TRANSPORT UNIVERSITETI
1955

TDF
TOSHKENT DAVLAT
AKTIVISTURA-QURILISH UNIVERSITETI

TJU
TOSHKENT KIYOG - TEKNOLOGIYA INSTITUTI
1991

TJU
TOSHKENT KIYOG - TEKNOLOGIYA INSTITUTI
1991



muhandislik & iqtisodiyot

ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal

Bosh muharrir:

Zokirova Nodira Kalandarovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, DSc, professor

Bosh muharrir o'rinosari:

Shakarov Zafar G'afforovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori, PhD, dotsent

Tahrir hay'ati:

Abduraxmanov Kalandar Xodjayevich, O'z FA akademigi, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Sharipov Kongratbay Avezimbetovich, texnika fanlari doktori, professor

Maxkamov Baxtiyor Shuxratovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Abduraxmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Shaumarov Said Sanatovich, texnika fanlari doktori, professor

Turayev Bahodir Xatamovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Nasimov Dilmurod Abdulloyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Allayeva Gulchexra Jalgasovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Arabov Nurali Uralovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Maxmudov Odiljon Xolmirzayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Xamrayeva Sayyora Nasimovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Bobonazarova Jamila Xolmurodovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Irmatova Aziza Baxromovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Bo'taboyev Mahammadjon To'ychiyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor

Shamshiyeva Nargizaxon Nosirxuja kizi, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor,

Xolmuxamedov Muhsinjon Murodullayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Xodjayeva Nodiraxon Abdurashidovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Amanov Otabek Amankulovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent

Toxirov Jaloliddin Ochil o'g'li, texnika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Qurbanov Samandar Pulatovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Zikriyoyev Aziz Sadulloyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Tabayev Azamat Zaripbayevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Sxay Lana Aleksandrovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent

Ismoilova Gulnora Fayzullayevna, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Djumaniyazov Umrbek Ilxamovich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Kasimova Nargiza Sabitjanovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, dotsent

Kalanova Moxigul Baxritdinovna, dotsent

Ashurzoda Luiza Muxtarovna, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Sharipov Sardor Begmaxmat o'g'li, iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Sharipov Botirali Roxataliyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari nomzodi, professor

Tursunov Ulug'bek Sativoldiyevich, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori (DSc), dotsent

Bauyedtinov Majit Janizaqovich, Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot universiteti dotsenti, PhD

Botirov Bozorbek Musurmon o'g'li, Texnika fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD)

Sultonov Shavkatjon Abdullayevich, Kimyo fanlari doktori, (DSc)

Jo'raeva Malohat Muhammadovna, filologiya fanlari doktori (DSc), professor.



muhandislik & iqtisodiyot

ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal

- 05.01.00 – Axborot texnologiyalari, boshqaruv va kompyuter grafikasi
- 05.01.01 – Muhandislik geometriyasi va kompyuter grafikasi. Audio va video texnologiyalari
- 05.01.02 – Tizimli tahlil, boshqaruv va axborotni qayta ishlash
- 05.01.03 – Informatikaning nazariy asoslari
- 05.01.04 – Hisoblash mashinalari, majmualari va kompyuter tarmoqlarining matematik va dasturiy ta'minoti
- 05.01.05 – Axborotlarni himoyalash usullari va tizimlari. Axborot xavfsizligi
- 05.01.06 – Hisoblash texnikasi va boshqaruv tizimlarining elementlari va qurilmalari
- 05.01.07 – Matematik modellashtirish
- 05.01.11 – Raqamli texnologiyalar va sun'iy intellekt
- 05.02.00 – Mashinasozlik va mashinashunoslik
- 05.02.08 – Yer ustti majmualari va uchish apparatlari
- 05.03.02 – Metrologiya va metrologiya ta'minoti
- 05.04.01 – Telekommunikasiya va kompyuter tizimlari, telekommunikasiya tarmoqlari va qurilmalari. Axborotlarni taqsimlash
- 05.05.03 – Yorug'lik texnikasi. Maxsus yoritish texnologiyasi
- 05.05.05 – Issiqqlik texnikasining nazariy asoslari
- 05.05.06 – Qayta tiklanadigan energiya turlari asosidagi energiya qurilmalari
- 05.06.01 – To'qimachilik va yengil sanoat ishlab chiqarishlari materialshunosligi

- 05.08.03 – Temir yo'l transportini ishlatish
- 05.09.01 – Qurilish konstruksiyalari, bino va inshootlar
- 05.09.04 – Suv ta'minoti. Kanalizatsiya. Suv havzalarini muhofazalovchi qurilish tizimlari
- 10.00.06 – Qiyoziy adabiyotshunoslik, chog'ishtirma tilshunoslik va tarjimashunoslik
- 10.00.04 – Yevropa, Amerika va Avstraliya xalqlari tili va adabiyoti
- 08.00.01 – Iqtisodiyot nazariyasi
- 08.00.02 – Makroiqtisodiyot
- 08.00.03 – Sanoat iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.04 – Qishloq xo'jaligi iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.05 – Xizmat ko'ssatish tarmoqlari iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.06 – Ekonometrika va statistika
- 08.00.07 – Moliya, pul muomalasi va kredit
- 08.00.08 – Buxgalteriya hisobi, iqtisodiy tahlil va audit
- 08.00.09 – Jahon iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.10 – Demografiya. Mehnat iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.11 – Marketing
- 08.00.12 – Mintaqaviy iqtisodiyot
- 08.00.13 – Menejment
- 08.00.14 – Iqtisodiyotda axborot tizimlari va texnologiyalari
- 08.00.15 – Tadbirkorlik va kichik biznes iqtisodiyoti
- 08.00.16 – Raqamli iqtisodiyot va xalqaro raqamli integratsiya
- 08.00.17 – Turizm va mehmonxona faoliyati

Ma'lumot uchun, OAK

Rayosatining 2024-yil 28-avgustdagagi 360/5-son qarori bilan "Dissertatsiyalar asosiy ilmiy natijalarini chop etishga tavsiya etilgan milliy ilmiy nashrlar ro'yxati" ga texnika va iqtisodiyot fanlari bo'yicha "Muhandislik va iqtisodiyot" jurnali ro'yxatga kiritilgan.

Muassis: "Tadbirkor va ishbilarmon" MChJ

Hamkorlarimiz:

1. Toshkent shahridagi G.V.Plexanov nomidagi Rossiya iqtisodiyot universiteti
2. Toshkent davlat iqtisodiyot universiteti
3. Toshkent irrigatsiya va qishloq xo'jaligini mexanizatsiyalash muhandislari instituti" milliy tadqiqot universiteti
4. Islom Karimov nomidagi Toshkent davlat texnika universiteti
5. Muhammad al-Xorazmiy nomidagi Toshkent axborot texnologiyalari universiteti
6. Toshkent davlat transport universiteti
7. Toshkent arxitektura-qurilish universiteti
8. Toshkent kimyo-teknologiya universiteti
9. Jizzax politexnika instituti



MUNDARIJA

Visualdsp++ platformasida raqamli signal protsessorlarini dasturlash texnologiyasi	12
Ibragimov Sanjarbek Salijanovich	
Tadbirkorlik tushunchasi: falsafiy-iqtisodiy va ijtimoiy-psixologik tahlil.....	19
Rajabov Sirojiddin Mansurovich	
Xorijiy davlatlar sog'liqni saqlash tizimida investitsiya loyihalarini davlat-xususiy sheriklik asosida moliyalashtirish mexanizmi.....	26
Karabayev Sanjar Abdusamatovich	
Feasibility study and site optimization for small hydropower plants along the syr darya river in Uzbekistan.....	33
Karimov Mustafo Aminbayevich, Berdiyev Usmon Tolib o'g'li	
Agrar sohada risklarning o'zaro ta'sirchan tarzda namoyon bo'lish xususiyatlari.....	42
Baymirzaev Dilmurod Nematovich	
Parrandachilik mahsulotlari omilli tahlil qilishda indeks usulidan foydalanish.	47
Bobomuratov Imomkul Islamovich	
O'zbekiston telekommunikatsiya sohasida raqamlashtirish jarayonlarini samarali boshqarish: "O'zbektelekom" ak misolida	56
Islamov Javlon Rasulovich	
Tijorat banklari amaliyotida jinoiy daromadlarni legallashtirishga qarshi kurashish yo'nalishlari.....	59
Rustamov Sunnatillo Rustamovich	
Tadbirkorlikning mamlakat yaimdag'i ulushi va uning o'zgarish tamoyillari	66
Ibragimova Gulchexra Toxirovna	
Norasmiy bandlikning yashirin iqtisodiyot shakllanishi va dinamikasiga ta'sirining nazariy va amaliy jihatlari	72
Xasanov Jaxongir Jamshidovich, Sharifxo'jayev Shavkat Oqilovich	
O'zbekiston Respublikasi tijorat banklari tomonidan kichik biznes subyektlariga ajratilgan kreditlarning amaliy holati va dinamikasi	80
Irgasheva Nigora Akbarovna	
Туристская мобильность стран персидского залива как устойчивый и перспективный источник въездного туризма в Узбекистан	87
Голышева Елена Вячеславовна; Додиев Феруз	
O'zbekistonda sug'urta bozori holati va o'sish sur'ati	96
Bazarov Zakir Xonqulovich	
Davlat xardilarida narxning eng yuqori chegarasi: huquqiy mexanizm va amaliy ahamiyati	101
Mamasoliyev Abrorbek Abdu'ani o'g'li	
O'zbekiston respublikasida norasmiy sektor va aholini norasmiy mehnat bilan bandligining legallashtirishini boshqarishni istiqbolli ko'rsatkichlari	105
Qoraboev Nuriddin Pardaboy o'g'li	
Разработка инновационных инструментов и механизмов стратегического управления проектами	110
Мансурова Севара Мансуровна	
Sug'urta kompaniyalarida moliyaviy barqarorlikni ta'minlashning metodologik asoslari: nazariy va amaliy jihatlar	114
Haqberdiyev Bekzod O'ktamovich	
Учёт и аудит обязательств на предприятиях: анализ зарубежного опыта и практики	120
Халықназарова Гулназ Жалгасбай кызы	
Theoretical Basis for Ensuring a Cotton Layer on a Conveyor Belt	126
G.R.Rakhmatov	



Korxonalarning moliyaviy barqarorligini boshqarish	132
Foziljonov Ibrohimjon Sotvoldixo'ja o'g'li	
Targetlangan iqtisodiy siyosat orqali kambag'allikka qarshi kurash.....	138
Baratov J.N.	
Jismoniy shaxslarning daromadlariga deklaratsiya asosida soliq solish mexanzmini takomillashtirish	144
Ibragimov Zafar Ismailovich	
Bekobod sement zavodida ishlab chiqarish jarayonlarida og'ir mehnat omillarini avtomatlashtirish orqali xavfni kamaytirish	152
Ishchanov Atabay Reyimberdiyevich	
Tadbirkorlikning mamlakat yaimdag'i ulushi va uning o'zgarish tamoyillari	159
Ibragimova Gulchexra Toxirovna	
Практические подходы к выявлению и оценке налоговых рисков на основе сегментации деятельности налогоплательщиков	164
Голубова Ольга Сергеевна, Элбаева Мукаддас Рашидовна	
Tijorat banklari faoliyatini transformatsiyalashuv jaroyonlarini takomillashtirish	170
Kulboyeva Nargiz Majitovna	
O'zbekistonda innovatsion faoliyatga ta'sir qiluvchi tashqi va ichki omillar.....	176
Anasxon Yunusov A'zamjon o'g'li	
Применение метода анализа иерархий для выбора оптимальной экспортной стратегии: на примере Узбекских предприятий, экспортирующих товары в россию.....	180
Муниса Мирзалиевна Турдибаева	
Processing of Sulfide Copper Ores With Copper and Silver Recovery.	185
Mamaisakova Zebo Bahodir qizi	
Korxonalar eksport salohiyatini rivojlantirishning xorij tajribasi.....	189
Naimxonov Ma'rufxon Zokirxon Og'lli	
Corporate Governance Reforms and Financial Performance Dynamics: Evidence From State-Owned Banks in Uzbekistan	194
Yusufjon Pulatov, Bakhtiyor Islamov	
Tijorat banklari tomonidan kreditlash mexanizmning uslubiy jihatlari va o'ziga xos xususiyatlari	200
Kaxxarov Ulug'bek Xalmatovich	
Восстановление геологических сигналов с использованием кубических базисных сплайнов.....	204
Гофуржонов Мухаммадали, Гофуржонова Маржона	
Tijorat banklari moliyaviy barqarorligini ta'minlashning amaliy jihatlari	210
Madaminov Bekzod Allayarovich	
Aholi moliyaviy savodxonligini moliyaviy siyosat instrumentlari orqali oshirish imkoniyatlari	215
Irgashev Anvar Farkodovich	
Yashil moliyalashtirishda moliyaviy instrumentlar	220
Abduraxmonov Alimardon Sodiq o'g'li	
Sanoatni rivojlanish tendensiyalari va o'ziga xos xususiyatlari (Xorazm viloyati misolida).....	224
Salayev Jasurbek Komilovich	
Tijorat banklari raqobatbardoshlik qobiliyatini oshirish bo'yicha xorij tajribasi va uni O'zbekiston tijorat banklari faoliyatida qo'llanilishi.....	230
Shamsiyev Nurbek Fazliddin o'g'li	
Mamlakatda yashirin iqtisodiyotga qarshi kurashish dastaklari	237
Raxmonov Lochin To'xtamishovich	
Theoretical principles of cluster formation in metallurgical industry enterprises.....	243
Abdullayeva Matluba Nematovna	
Трансграничные денежные переводы в Республику Узбекистан и благосостояние граждан	250
Садыков Азиз Миршарапович	



Tashkilot boshqaruv samardorligini oshirishning xorij tajribasi	254
Usmonov Adxamjon A'zamjonovich	
Xizmatlar sohasining raqobatbardoshligini oshirish yo'llari (Samarqand viloyati misolida)	261
Sheraliyev Axror Sodikovich	
Zavisimost' optimal'nogo toka i koefitsienta polzynogo deystviya termopreobrazovatelya ot geometrii vетvey termoэlementa	266
Norbutaev Ma'sudxon Abdurasulovich	
Potential GDP Estimation and Output Gaps: Insights from Cross-Country Studies and Applications to Developing Economies.....	272
Mukhammedova Azizakhon Ikromjon kizi	



POTENTIAL GDP ESTIMATION AND OUTPUT GAPS: INSIGHTS FROM CROSS-COUNTRY STUDIES AND APPLICATIONS TO DEVELOPING ECONOMIES

Mukhammedova Azizakhon Ikromjon kizi

PhD candidate in Statistics and Econometrics, Westminster International University in Tashkent

Email: azi.mukhammedova@wiut.uz

Abstract: Despite being essential instruments in macroeconomic research, potential GDP and the production gap are nevertheless difficult to estimate because of methodological decisions, structural variations, and data constraints. Evidence from cross-national studies examining mature, resource-dependent, and transition economies—such as those in the European Union, Japan, Saudi Arabia, Croatia, and Moldova—is reviewed in this study. It analyzes the advantages and disadvantages of the three primary methods—statistical filters, production functions, and multivariate frameworks. The findings indicate that demographic changes are important for advanced economies, sectoral disaggregation is essential for resource economies, and catastrophes like the global financial crisis and the COVID-19 pandemic permanently lower potential production. Notwithstanding variations in methodology, the production gap is still a crucial tool for monetary and fiscal policy and reliably forecasts inflation. In order to provide credible economic management, the article suggests that potential GDP should be seen as a dynamic standard that requires ongoing methodological improvement and context-specific application.

Keywords: Potential GDP, Output gap, Cross-country analysis, Statistical filters, Production function, Multivariate models, Economic crises, Fiscal and monetary policy, Advanced economies, Resource-dependent economies.

Annotasiya: Makroiqtisodiy tadqiqotlarda muhim vosita bo'lishiga qaramay, potensial YalM va ishlab chiqarish bo'shlig'ini baholash metodologik qarorlar, tarkibiy farqlar va ma'lumotlar cheklanganligi sababli murakkab hisoblanadi. Ushbu tadqiqotda Yevropa Ittifoqi, Yaponiya, Saudiya Arabiston, Xorvatiya va Moldova kabi rivojlangan, resurslarga tayanadigan va o'tish davridagi iqtisodiyotlarni qamrab olgan transmilliy tadqiqotlardan olingan dalillar ko'rib chiqiladi. Tadqiqot uch asosiy usul — statistik filtrlash, ishlab chiqarish funksiyalari va multivariat yondashuvlarning afzalliliklari hamda kamchiliklarini tahlil qiladi. Natijalar shuni ko'rsatadiki, demografik o'zgarishlar rivojlangan mamlakatlar uchun muhim ahamiyatga ega, sektorlar bo'yicha ajratib tahlil qilish resurslarga tayanadigan mamlakatlarda zarur, global moliyaviy inqiroz va COVID-19 pandemiyasi kabi falokatlar esa potensial ishlab chiqarishni doimiy ravishda pasaytiradi. Metodologiyalardagi farqlarga qaramay, ishlab chiqarish bo'shlig'i monetar va fiskal siyosat uchun muhim vosita bo'lib qolmoqda hamda inflyatsiyani ishonchli tarzda bashorat qiladi. Maqola potensial YalMni dinamik mezon sifatida ko'rish, uni doimiy metodologik takomillashtirish va kontekstga moslashtirilgan qo'llash zarurligini ta'kidlaydi.

Kalit so'zlar: Potensial YalM, Ishlab chiqarish bo'shlig'i, Xalqaro tahlil, Statistik filtrlash, Ishlab chiqarish funksiyasi, Multivariat modellar, Iqtisodiy inqirozlar, Fiskal va monetar siyosat, Rivojlangan mamlakatlar, Resurslarga tayanadigan mamlakatlar.

Аннотация: Несмотря на то, что потенциальный ВВП и разрыв выпуска являются важными инструментами макроэкономических исследований, их оценка затруднена из-за методологических решений, структурных различий и ограниченности данных. В данном исследовании рассматриваются материалы кросс-национальных исследований зрелых, ресурсозависимых и переходных экономик, таких как страны Европейского Союза, Япония, Саудовская Аравия, Хорватия и Молдова. Анализируются преимущества и недостатки трёх основных методов — статистических фильтров, производственных функций и многомерных подходов. Результаты показывают, что демографические изменения имеют ключевое значение для развитых экономик, секторная дезагрегация необходима для ресурсозависимых стран, а такие потрясения, как мировой финансовый кризис и пандемия COVID-19, ведут к постоянному снижению потенциального выпуска. Несмотря на различия в методологиях, разрыв выпуска остаётся важным инструментом для денежно-кредитной и фискальной политики и надёжно прогнозирует инфляцию. В статье делается вывод, что потенциальный ВВП следует рассматривать как динамический стандарт, требующий постоянного методологического совершенствования и контекстуально-специфического применения для обеспечения достоверного экономического управления.

Ключевые слова: Потенциальный ВВП, Разрыв выпуска, Кросс-стратовой анализ, Статистические фильтры, Производственная функция, Многомерные модели, Экономические кризисы, Фискальная и monetарная политика, Развитые экономики, Ресурсозависимые экономики.



INTRODUCTION

In contemporary macroeconomics, the potential gross domestic product (GDP) and the production gap are two of the most important metrics. These measures influence fiscal monitoring, monetary policy, and long-term growth plans. A sustainable level of output and the deviation of actual production from that level are two ideas that cannot be seen and must be approximated via the use of models. Both of these concepts are defined correspondingly as the sustainable level of output. As a result of severe shocks like as the global financial crisis, the COVID-19 pandemic, and the volatility of commodity prices, which have all affected development trajectories throughout the globe, their assessment has received increased attention. Evidence from several countries demonstrates that crises permanently impact potential production, that dependency on resources makes measuring more difficult, and that demographic patterns have an effect on long-term sustainability. While this is going on, various methodological choices—ranging from simple statistical filters to complex multivariate frameworks—are producing different outcomes, which raises problems about the trustworthiness of the findings and the application of the policy. The purpose of this article is to draw lessons for developing nations by analyzing data from both developed economies and economies that are reliant on resources. These economies include the European Union, Japan, Saudi Arabia, and Moldova. In this research, the merits and drawbacks of the methodology are highlighted, findings are compared across different settings, and lessons are extracted about how credible policy frameworks might be tailored to address possible GDP and production gaps.

LITERATURE REVIEW ON THE TOPIC

The examination of potential gross domestic product (GDP) and production gaps has gained significance as policymakers and scholars use these metrics to analyze fiscal sustainability, inform monetary policy, and assess structural changes. Potential GDP is often described as the maximum production level that can be maintained without inducing inflationary pressure, while the output gap quantifies the discrepancies between actual output and this potential (European Commission, 2003; Kawamoto, Nishimura & Tamura, 2017). The estimate of these unobservable variables relies on models that differ in complexity, assumptions, and data needs.

Statistical filters are often used owing to their simplicity. The Hodrick–Prescott (HP) filter separates GDP into a trend component and a cyclical deviation, and has been used in studies concerning Saudi Arabia (Alkhareif & Alsadoun, 2016), Moldova (Ernst, 2005), and EU crisis economies (Măntescu & Lazăr, 2014). Nonetheless, HP estimates are affected by endpoint bias and lack clear connections to structural determinants. The Kalman filter, used in Saudi Arabia and advanced-economy research, offers time-varying adaptability but necessitates more stringent assumptions. Both methodologies risk misidentifying fundamental shifts—such as demographic changes or technological disruptions—as cyclical variations (European Commission, 2003).

Production function methodologies have emerged as the standard in cross-national analyses. The Cobb–Douglas model disaggregates production into capital, labor, and total factor productivity (TFP), facilitating structural analysis. This methodology has been used in Croatia (Kordoš, 2013), Saudi Arabia (Alkhareif & Alsadoun, 2016), Romania (Armeanu et al., 2015), and the European Union (Măntescu & Lazăr, 2014; Altar et al., 2010). Its strength is in openness and the disaggregation of growth sources; yet, outcomes are significantly contingent upon the quality of data about capital and labor.

Multivariate and hybrid methodologies integrate filtering with economic correlations. The European Commission and IMF include Phillips curve and Okun's law dynamics, but Mihai & Bozagi (2025) use Bayesian extensions for Romania. These models mitigate bias and synchronize production discrepancies with inflation and unemployment, enhancing policy relevance.

Cross-national evidence offers significant comparative insights. The economies of the EU in crisis demonstrate that the global financial crisis significantly reduced potential GDP, with some nations seeing negative potential growth (Măntescu & Lazăr, 2014). Japan's amendments to capital and labor statistics elevated potential growth projections to about 0.5–1.0 percent, although its output gap remained a reliable indicator of inflation (Kawamoto et al., 2017). Saudi Arabia exemplifies the significance of sectoral disaggregation: overall GDP estimates fluctuate considerably, however non-oil potential growth remains consistently between 5 and 6 percent (Alkhareif & Alsadoun, 2016). Moldova underscores the vulnerabilities of transition economies, as labor mobility and institutional deficiencies hinder measurement (Ernst, 2005).

A prominent issue in these research is the influence of crises. The EU, Japan, and Saudi Arabia demonstrate that financial crises, demographic disruptions, or fluctuations in commodity prices may permanently alter potential GDP. Crises often diminish potential growth by 1–4 percent (European Commission, 2009), emphasizing the need for methodological prudence and policy adjustment.



Policy insights derived from cross-national studies underscore the need of triangulating methodologies and tailoring them to specific contexts. European Union economies need structural changes and budgetary regulations associated with cyclically adjusted balances; Japan must confront demographic decline; Saudi Arabia must diversify its economy beyond oil. Notwithstanding methodological discrepancies, all research agree that the production gap is an essential indicator for policymakers.

Conclusion of the Literature Review: International research indicates that estimating techniques must be tailored to structural characteristics, crises inflict enduring damage on potential GDP, and context dictates the most reliable methodology. Insights from mature and resource-dependent economies are essential for emerging nations, providing direction on methodological rigor and structural policy formulation.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Estimating potential GDP and output gaps needs flexible methods that consider different economic structures, data availability, and policy environments. Cross-country studies show a range of methods, from basic statistical filters to intricate multivariate frameworks, often adapted to the unique conditions of each country.

Statistical filters are often a popular starting point. The Hodrick–Prescott (HP) filter is commonly used in EU crisis economies, Saudi Arabia, and transition economies like Moldova, offering a fast breakdown of GDP into its trend and cycle components (Alkhareif & Alsadoun, 2016; Măntescu & Lazăr, 2014; Ernst, 2005). The Kalman filter, while flexible and reliant on many assumptions, has been utilized to track changing parameters in Saudi Arabia and similar resource-rich countries. Advanced analyses often use band-pass filters like Baxter–King or Christiano–Fitzgerald, as noted in IMF studies on Niger for validation purposes.

Production function methods are prevalent in comparative studies. The Cobb–Douglas framework, applied in Romania, Croatia, Saudi Arabia, and EU-wide studies, breaks down growth into contributions from capital, labor, and total factor productivity (Armeanu et al., 2015; Kordoš, 2013; Măntescu & Lazăr, 2014). The method is clear and based on theory, but it needs high-quality input data. Extensions involve changes in labor quality, research and development, and capital across sectors. The Bank of Japan improved its labor input measures by considering the participation of women and the elderly, as well as working hours and utilization rates (Kawamoto et al., 2017).

Multivariate and hybrid models enhance filters and production functions by incorporating the dynamics of inflation and unemployment. The European Commission and IMF use methods that integrate Phillips curve and Okun's law relationships, with Bayesian extensions (Mihai & Bozagi, 2025) enhancing their robustness. These methods minimize end-point bias and create gaps that are relevant for policy.

Adjusting for country-specific factors is essential in comparative studies. Saudi Arabia and other oil exporters differentiate between total and non-oil GDP to prevent distortions caused by OPEC production influences. In transition economies like Moldova, weak data systems lead to the use of filters alongside inventory methods. Countries like Japan consider demographic factors, whereas EU crisis nations emphasize cyclically adjusted balances for fiscal oversight.

Comparative lessons show that there is no one-size-fits-all method. Filters are straightforward yet lack theory; production functions offer a framework but need data; multivariate methods combine macro dynamics but depend on extensive datasets. Evidence from various countries indicates that methodology should align with context: oil exporters need detailed sector analysis, advanced economies require precise input metrics, and transition economies encounter institutional limitations.

The development of methods in different countries shows a shift from basic filters to production functions, and finally to hybrid and multivariate models. This shows improved data access and an increasing need for estimates that are relevant to policy and consistent with theory in both advanced and developing settings.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Cross-country studies show that potential GDP is not static; it changes due to crises, demographic changes, and improvements in methodology. Research from the European Union indicates that the 2008–2009 financial crisis significantly slowed potential growth, with countries like Cyprus, Greece, Portugal, Italy, and Spain experiencing negative growth rates (Măntescu & Lazăr, 2014). This illustrates “economic scarring,” where negative shocks diminish long-term productivity instead of just causing short-term changes. The policy implications are crucial: reforms should extend beyond immediate demand stabilization to tackle fundamental rigidities in labor and product markets, while focusing on investment in sectors that boost productivity (European Commission, 2009).

Saudi Arabia shows how the makeup of different sectors influences estimates. From 1980 to 2015, the average potential growth based on total GDP was about 2.4 percent, increasing to 3.9 percent during



2011–2015, largely driven by significant infrastructure investments (Alkhareif & Alsadoun, 2016). Productivity estimates vary significantly based on the method used, as oil output is influenced more by OPEC decisions than by domestic resource accumulation. Focusing on the non-oil sector provides more reliable results, typically around 5–6 percent, highlighting the importance of disaggregation in resource-dependent economies.

Japan highlights that improving methods can change potential GDP estimates while still being relevant for policy. The Bank of Japan has updated its estimate of potential growth to 0.5–1.0 percent, aligning it with pre-crisis levels. This revision incorporates new GDP accounts, capital stock data, and adjustments in the labor market, including the participation of women and the elderly, as well as trends in working hours (Kawamoto, Nishimura & Tamura, 2017). The output gap continues to effectively predict inflation, highlighting its importance as a cyclical indicator, even amid challenges like secular stagnation and demographic decline.

These findings indicate that crises lower potential GDP in various economies, highlight the importance of sectoral analysis in resource-rich nations, and suggest that advanced economies gain from improved measurement of labor and capital inputs. Even with different methods, the output gap remains an important tool for fiscal and monetary authorities. These insights highlight the need for potential GDP estimation to adapt to structural changes, ensuring that policy frameworks stay credible in both advanced and developing settings.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

Research shows that estimating potential GDP and output gaps presents both methodological challenges and essential policy needs. In various economies, one clear lesson stands out: crises have lasting impacts on productivity. The global financial crisis, COVID-19 pandemic, and commodity price shocks have diminished potential GDP in both advanced and developing economies, revealing the issue of long-term economic “scarring” (Măntescu & Lazăr, 2014). Japan shows how a shrinking population limits growth, while the EU’s struggling economies highlight how financial instability reduces potential. Conversely, Saudi Arabia’s non-oil sector shows that focused reforms and investments can maintain resilience even in challenging times (Alkhareif & Alsadoun, 2016).

Another conclusion is that no single method works for everyone. Statistical filters are popular for their ease of use, yet their biases can restrict their applicability in policy-making. Production function methods enable a detailed analysis of growth, but they require accurate data on capital and labor. Multivariate and Bayesian methods help minimize estimation bias and enhance connections to inflation and unemployment, increasing their credibility in fiscal and monetary contexts (Mihai & Bozagiū, 2025). Countries like Japan demonstrate that refining methods, like remeasuring labor inputs and capital stock, can enhance potential estimates while keeping the output gap reliable as a cyclical indicator (Kawamoto, Nishimura & Tamura, 2017).

Ultimately, context is important. Oil exporters should distinguish between oil and non-oil activities to ensure accurate results. Advanced economies need detailed demographic and labor metrics, while EU member states must synchronize fiscal oversight with reliable potential GDP estimates (European Commission, 2009).

Potential GDP should be seen as a dynamic target, influenced by reforms, demographic shifts, technological advancements, and crises. The implications of this policy are evident: Cross-country experience indicates that effective macroeconomic management relies on regularly updated, methodologically sound potential GDP estimates that reflect structural realities.

References

1. Alkhareif, R. M., & Alsadoun, N. A. (2016). Estimating the output gap for Saudi Arabia. Saudi Arabian Monetary Agency (SAMA) Working Paper, WP/16/1.
2. Denis, C., McMorrow, K., & Röger, W. (2006). Calculating potential growth rates and output gaps – A revised production function approach. European Commission, Economic Papers 247.
3. European Commission. (2003). Statistical methods for estimating potential output and output gaps. Brussels: Directorate-General for Economic and Financial Affairs.
4. European Commission. (2009). Impact of the current economic and financial crisis on potential output. European Economy, Occasional Papers, 49.
5. Havik, K., McMorrow, K., Orlandi, F., Planas, C., Raciborski, R., Röger, W., Rossi, A., Thum-Thysen, A., & Vandermeulen, V. (2014). The production function methodology for calculating potential growth rates and output gaps. European Economy Economic Papers, 535.
6. Kawamoto, T., Nishimura, Y., & Tamura, R. (2017). Estimating Japan’s output gap and potential growth: A methodological update. Bank of Japan Working Paper Series, No. 17-E-2.
7. Kordoš, M. (2013). Estimating potential output and output gap in Croatia using production function approach. Economic Research, 26(2), 391–408.
8. Măntescu, D., & Lazăr, D.-T. (2014). Estimation of potential GDP and output gap: Comparative perspective. Amfiteatru Economic, 16(37), 951–964.
9. World Bank. (2014). Potential GDP estimation using a hybrid production-function method. World Bank Policy Note, Washington D.C.

muhandislik **& iqtisodiyot**

ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy, innovatsion texnik,
fan va ta'limga oid ilmiy-amaliy jurnal

Ingliz tili muharriri: Feruz Hakimov

Musahhih: Zokir Alibekov

Sahifalovchi va dizayner: Iskandar Islomov

2025. № 8

© Materiallar ko'chirib bosinganda "Muhandislik va iqtisodiyot" jurnali manba sifatida ko'rsatilishi shart. Jurnalda bosingan material va reklamalardagi dalillarning aniqligiga mualliflar ma'sul. Tahririyat fikri har vaqt ham mualliflar fikriga mos kelamasligi mumkin. Tahririyatga yuborilgan materiallar qaytarilmaydi.

"Muhandislik va iqtisodiyot" jurnali 26.06.2023-yildan
O'zbekiston Respublikasi Prezidenti Adminstratsiyasi huzuridagi
Axborot va ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar agentligi tomonidan
№S-5669245 reyestr raqami tartibi bo'yicha ro'yxatdan o'tkazilgan.
Litsenziya raqami: №095310.

Manzilimiz: Toshkent shahri Yunusobod
tumani 15-mavze 19-uy





+998 93 718 40 07



<https://muhandislik-iqtisodiyot.uz/index.php/journal>



t.me/yait_2100